

CITY OF ARMADALE

MINUTES

OF TECHNICAL SERVICES COMMITTEE HELD IN THE COMMITTEE ROOM,
ADMINISTRATION CENTRE, 7 ORCHARD AVENUE, ARMADALE ON MONDAY, 25
JULY 2005, AT 7.00 PM.

PRESENT:

Cr J Everts	Chair
Cr J Knezevich	
Cr R Butterfield	
Cr G A Best	
Cr P J Hart	
Cr A L Cominelli JP	

APOLOGIES:

Cr J A Stewart

OBSERVERS:

Cr H A Zelones JP (7.00pm to 8.22pm)

IN ATTENDANCE:

Mr W A Bruce	Executive Director Technical Services
Mr J Gossmann	Acting Manager Technical Services
Mr P Lanternier	Manager Parks
Mr J Glassford	Manager Property Services
Miss L Potter	Minute Secretary

PUBLIC:

Nil.

DISCLAIMER

The Disclaimer for protecting Councillors and staff from liability of information and advice given at Committee meetings was not read by the Chairman as no members of the public were in attendance.

DECLARATION OF MEMBER'S INTERESTS

Nil.

DEPUTATION

Nil.

QUESTION TIME

Nil.

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

RESOLVED

Minutes of the Technical Services Committee Meeting held on 27th June 2005, be confirmed.

**MOVED Cr Cominelli
MOTION CARRIED (6/0)**

ITEMS REFERRED FROM INFORMATION BULLETIN – ISSUE NO. 14

The following items were included for information in the “Technical Services” section:

- **Outstanding Matters**
Report on Outstanding Matters – Technical Services Committee..... T-1
- **Monthly / Quarterly Departmental Reports**
Technical Services Directorate Works Programme..... T-2
- **Minutes from Occasional Advisory Committees**
Bungendore Park Management Committee – June 2005 T-5
Armadale Gosnells Landcare Group Meeting T-9

If any of the items listed above requires clarification or a report for a decision of Council, this item to be raised for discussion at this juncture.

I N D E X

TECHNICAL SERVICES COMMITTEE

25 JULY 2005

PARKS AND RESERVES

LOCATION OF NEW PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT	4
RELOCATION OF PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT – BROOKTON HIGHWAY TO FRYE PARK.....	6

ENGINEERING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT

CHAMPION DRIVE, WESTFIELD - PETITION	11
FOOTPATH ALONG CONNELL AVENUE ACROSS WRIGHT BROOK AND NORTH TO TURNER ROAD.....	16
FOOTPATH FROM HILL STREET/ PATERSON ROAD TO BROOKTON HIGHWAY, KELMSCOTT.....	15
PAGE ROAD / ALBANY HIGHWAY INTERSECTION – PROPOSED TRAFFIC LIGHTS	7
WATER CORPORATION MAIN DRAINAGE IN FORRESTDAL.....	14

TENDERS

TENDER NO. 18/05 – MOWING OF PASSIVE RESERVES	17
TENDER NO. 19/05 – PRUNING AND REMOVAL OF TREES	21
TENDER NO. 20/05 – WEED SPRAYING SERVICES	27
TENDER NO. 21/05 - SUPPLY OF SAND.....	33
TENDER NO. 22/05 - SUPPLY OF MINERAL AGGREGATE.....	37
TENDER NO. 23/05 - SUPPLY OF ROAD FOUNDATION MATERIAL – ROCK BASE	41
TENDER NO. 28/05 – SUPPLY OF ENGINEERING DESIGN, TRAFFIC AND ROAD SAFETY SERVICES.....	44

MISCELLANEOUS

WASTE AND RECYCLE 2004 CONFERENCE.....	49
--	----

LOCATION OF NEW PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT

That the matter of a review on the process of locating new playground equipment was referred to the Technical Services Committee by Cr Hart.

Cr Hart has provided the following further information to the Committee:

“In placing the agenda item forward I recognise the excellent role of the Superintendent of Parks and Gardens and his staff who have in the past undertaken the role of location, instillation and management of children’s playground equipment. I believe however after talking with quite a number of community members from throughout the City that we need to formally recognise that young children’s recreation needs to be recognised on a more formal process.”

It is interesting to note that the City spends many, many thousands of dollars on recreational facilities for our youth and adults and also has a strong focus on the needs of our older population’s recreational needs. We have strategic plans to address their short and long term developments, yet the recreational requirements of the youngest members of our community – our babies, toddlers and younger children -have never been addressed in a formal sense.

There needs are very basic but necessary for both their physical and social development. They are integral members of our community and in my opinion deserve their needs to be recognised through a formal process.

What we are talking about for our youngest community member’s recreation is playgrounds with certain requirements and with the appropriate equipment. They need – in my opinion and based on the Cities financial position -to be located strategically and with sufficient playground equipment to make the playtime an exciting and positive experience.

By locating these playgrounds strategically we would be able to increase the funds for each site to ensure there is a variety of equipment for their experiences and also for the various age groups.

The location of these strategic placements need to based on certain requirements eg parking, safety issues, drinking facility possible shelter or maybe nearby toilet facilities. All of these perhaps not critical but to be taken into consideration and with local community consultation.

Another area which needs to be investigated is the need to recognise those children in our community in wheelchairs who do not have the advantage of a special swing that is available for their needs. This obviously would need to be addressed through outside funding, but it is achievable.

I believe that the recreational requirements of youngest members of the City of Armadale are very important and need to be recognised by basing them within the Community Development Directorate that has all other recreation under its portfolio and has the appropriate staff to conduct community consultation and research the various funding opportunities.”

COMMITTEE discussed the importance of playgrounds, the consultation process used to identify the location of playground equipment within parks, the range of park types – local, active sports, community facility and regional – and their varying usages and needs for a range of equipment, the impact on the 15 Year Financial Plan and the contribution the various City departments can make in developing a strategic stance on playground priorities and equipment needs.

The Manager Parks outlined the work his Department had been occupied in for the last three or four years in developing a strategic programme for playground replacement and for new park development. The funds available for new parks in particular had been redirected as the demand for operational maintenance increased.

The Manager Parks described the work undertaken to describe each playground and its equipment condition. He also stated that a multi-department, multi-disciplinary Working Group consisting of himself and the Managers of Community Development and Recreation had been established and an experienced playground consultant appointed to draw the disparate elements of successful playground planning and management together. The Group was meeting to finalise the detailed scope of work and outline plan. The first stage is an audit of existing equipment and condition to update the data base.

The Committee emphasised the value of a strategic playground plan, including the impact on the 15 Year Financial Plan.

T75/07/05 RECOMMEND

That a strategic playground plan be presented to Council outlining a development programme including its impact on the Fifteen Year Financial Plan.

MOVED Cr Hart

MOTION CARRIED (6/0)

RELOCATION OF PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT – BROOKTON HIGHWAY TO FRYE PARK

That the matter of relocation of playground equipment from Brookton Highway, Kelmscott to Frye Park, Kelmscott was referred to the Technical Services Committee by Cr Butterfield.

Cr Butterfield has provided the following further information to the Committee:

“I would like this item withdrawn until the outcome of Cr Hart’s item on a ‘playground policy’, is known. It will be recommitted at a later date if necessary.”

PAGE ROAD / ALBANY HIGHWAY INTERSECTION – PROPOSED TRAFFIC LIGHTS

WARD River
FILE REF RDA/8; RDP/1
DATE 20 June 2005
REF GD
RESPONSIBLE EDTS
MANAGER

In Brief:

- Advised of MRWA proposal to install traffic lights at Page Road / Albany Highway intersection.
- Discusses advantages and disadvantages.
- **Recommend**
 1. That Main Roads Western Australia be advised that Council supports the installation of traffic lights at the Page Road / Albany Highway intersection subject to advice being received that appropriate measures will be installed at the intersection of Gilwell Avenue / Streich Avenue.
 2. That Main Roads Western Australia be requested to provide Council with its programme for installation of traffic lights on Albany Highway through Kelmscott between Page Road and Davis Road and any associated constraints or traffic matters influencing the programme.

Tabled Items

Nil.

Officer Interest Declaration

Nil.

Strategic Implications

Physical Infrastructure

Develop an integrated transport system including safety aspects.

Legislation Implications

General assessment of relevant legislation (eg Local Government Act) has not revealed any restrictions.

Council Policy/Local Law Implications

General assessment has not revealed any applicable Policies/Local Laws.

Budget/Financial Implications

Nil.

Consultation

Main Roads Western Australia, Armadale Redevelopment Authority and Kelmscott Town Centre Working Group.

BACKGROUND

At its Ordinary Meeting on 4 July 2005, Council resolved to recommit this item to the July Technical Services Committee.

Council has been advised by Main Roads Western Australia that it is proposed to install a set of traffic lights at the Albany Highway / Page Road intersection during 2006. The works will be funded by the State Black Spot Programme.

Prior to this formal advice being received unofficial advice was provided to the Kelmscott Town Centre Working Group that two sets of lights may be installed, one at Page Road and the other at the intersection of Albany Highway with either Gilwell Avenue or Streich Avenue. The intention to erect this latter set of lights has been delayed however because it was felt that the lights may be too close to the existing lights at Denny Avenue and may therefore result in queuing problems.

The Kelmscott Town Centre Working Group are reviewing options for the design of the Town Centre and it is presently felt possible that Gilwell Avenue could be realigned to meet, and eventually cross, Albany Highway at a point slightly further north than the existing intersection. If this option eventuates then the potential queuing problems may be alleviated.

A traffic study has been commissioned by the Armadale Redevelopment Authority (see item May 2005 TSC) to assess this and associated options for the development of the Town Centre and the decision on installation of the second set of traffic lights has therefore been delayed.

COMMENT

The proposal to install a set of traffic lights at the Page Road intersection is in line with Council's previously stated requirements for the Kelmscott Town Centre and was a requirement that was highlighted in the Kelmscott Enquiry-by-Design process. The installation of the lights would provide the following two major benefits:

1. It will break up through traffic on Albany Highway and therefore increase the opportunity for pedestrians to cross the highway in the Town Centre.
2. It would provide a safer access for traffic entering the highway from the east and wishing to turn north.

Point 2 above also provides a potential disadvantage to the proposal in that it may attract a significant amount of traffic to Page Road.

The traffic study previously referred to will be assessing, among other things, the anticipated extra traffic volume on Page Road should the Page Road / Albany Highway lights be installed in isolation.

OPTIONS

Main Roads Western Australia are presently carrying out preliminary planning in preparation for the installation of the Page Road lights towards the end of the 2005 / 2006 Works Programme. This means that Council has three options, as follows:

1. Approve the installation of the lights.
2. Not approve the installation of the lights.
3. Approve the installation of the lights subject to a commitment from Main Roads Western Australia that associated lights at the Gilwell Avenue / Streich Avenue intersection will be installed in association with the Page Road lights.

Option 2 above is not considered appropriate bearing in mind:

1. The Kelmscott Enquiry-by-Design process identified a requirement for lights at this intersection.
2. Council had previously requested Main Roads Western Australia to install lights at the intersection.
3. The proposed funding source is via State Black Spot Grants and this therefore indicates that there is an existing safety problem at the intersection.

Bearing in mind that the traffic study referred to above is already in process and also that the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure has requested that proposals for the future development of the Kelmscott Town Centre be finalised in the next few months, it is suggested that Option 3 above is probably the most suitable. In order to confirm this it would be appropriate to await the outcomes of the traffic study.

CONCLUSION

It is recommended therefore that Council advise Main Roads Western Australia that whilst it generally supports the installation of traffic lights at the Page Road / Albany Highway intersection it is concerned at the possible significant increase in traffic on Page Road that may result. In light of this Main Roads Western Australia should therefore be advised that Council thinks it may be necessary to install both of the originally proposed sets of traffic lights at the same time and that it will finalise its decision on this matter when the outcomes of the Kelmscott Town Centre Traffic Study are finalised.

Officer Recommendation

1. *That Main Roads Western Australia be advised that Council will finalise its position on the desirability of traffic lights at the Page Road / Albany Highway intersection after it has been able to analyse the results of the Kelmscott Traffic Study.*

COMMITTEE requested that Council approve the installation of lights at the intersection of Page Road / Albany Highway subject to appropriate measures being implemented at the intersection of Gilwell Avenue / Streich Avenue. Committee was of the opinion that the installation of lights at Page Road / Albany Highway was essential for the community of Kelmscott.

T76/07/05 RECOMMEND

1. **That Main Roads Western Australia be advised that Council supports the installation of traffic lights at the Page Road / Albany Highway intersection subject to advice being received that appropriate measures will be installed at the intersection of Gilwell Avenue / Streich Avenue.**

2. **That Main Roads Western Australia be requested to provide Council with its programme for installation of traffic lights on Albany Highway through Kelmscott between Page Road and Davis Road and any associated constraints or traffic matters influencing the programme.**

MOVED Cr Butterfield
MOTION CARRIED (6/0)

CHAMPION DRIVE, WESTFIELD - PETITION

WARD Heron/Palomino
FILE REF ENG/38
DATE 4 May 2005
REF JG
RESPONSIBLE MANAGER MTS

In Brief:

- Petition received requesting installation of a noise and vision barrier for the section of Champion Drive between Lake Road and Westfield Road, Westfield.
- **Recommend**
 1. That Council not support the installation of noise barriers or noise walls along Champion Drive between Westfield Road and Lake Road based on the 2002 Environmental Report (BSD Consultants) and that the new carriageway has been moved away from residential buildings by a further 15 metres.
 2. That the petitioners requesting a noise and vision barrier on Champion Drive between Lake Road and Westfield Road be advised of Council's decision.

Tabled Items

Petition; Drawing No. 02-53.

Officer Interest Declaration

Nil.

Strategic Implications

Physical Infrastructure

Develop an integrated transport system including safety aspects.

Legislation Implications

General assessment of relevant legislation (eg Local Government Act) has not revealed any restrictions.

Council Policy/Local Law Implications

General assessment has not revealed any applicable Policies/Local Laws.

Budget/Financial Implications

Nil.

Consultation

Nil.

BACKGROUND

At its Ordinary Meeting on 7 June 2005, Council resolved (T31/05/05) as follows:

“That a report on installation of a noise and vision barrier for the section of Champion Drive between Lake Road and Westfield Road, Westfield be provided to a future Technical Services Committee.”

The prayer of the petition referred to above, signed by 29 residents, reads:

“We the undersigned electors of the City of Armadale request that the Council install a noise and vision barrier to the section of Champion Drive between Lake Road and Westfield Road,

since Council has constructed the dual carriageway there has been increased traffic flow causing increased noise emissions and visual disharmony, we believe that when Champion Drive is connected to the Tonkin Highway, we will see an even further increase in traffic movement.

We formally request for the construction of a barrier similar to the one erected in Lake Road following the construction of that dual carriageway.”

ANALYSIS

In 2002/2003 financial year the Champion Drive dual carriageway was constructed on the southern half of the 50m wide road reserve. This provided approximately 30.0m between the set-back of houses and the most northern kerb edge of the roadway and increased the distance from the property boundary to the carriageway by over 100% compared to the previous roadway, and consequently reduces the traffic noise. Traffic volume has not increased in the past 2 – 3 years as only very minor development in the eastern area has occurred.

The following statement is an extract from the comprehensive ‘Environmental Assessment and Management Plan’ that Council commissioned from BSD Consultants in 2002 prior to the design and construction of the Champion Drive dual carriageway between Seville Drive and its future connection to Tonkin Highway:

“A comprehensive noise assessment was undertaken based on previous data modelled for the Tonkin Highway Extension. The closest residence adjacent to the project area is approximately 50 metres from the proposed road reserve boundary. Based on the future traffic volumes for the Champion Drive extension, compliance with Main Roads WA noise criteria would be achieved at a distance of 18 metres from the edge of the proposed road reserve. Therefore, this residence will not be affected by the increased noise levels expected from the proposal and no noise reduction measures are required.

The housing estates at the intersection of Lake Road and Champion Drive are approximately 20 metres from the proposed road reserve boundary. The increase in future traffic on Champion Drive between Lake Road and Westfield Road is due to a natural increase as a result of increased urban development and population growth and is not a result of the proposal, therefore no mitigation is proposed.”

The above mentioned Environmental Report was also presented together with other documents to the Department of Environment for approval for the project.

CONCLUSION

As indicated in the BSD Environmental Assessment Report and also shown on Drawing 02/53 the residential buildings are now approximately 30m away from the closest carriageway lane and therefore there is no need to implement noise barriers or noise walls also supported by the fact that the current traffic volume has only increased marginally based on infill development.

T77/07/05 RECOMMEND

- 1. That Council not support the installation of noise barriers or noise walls along Champion Drive between Westfield Road and Lake Road based on the 2002 Environmental Report (BSD Consultants) and that the new carriageway has been moved away from residential buildings by a further 15 metres.**
- 2. That the petitioners requesting a noise and vision barrier on Champion Drive between Lake Road and Westfield Road be advised of Council's decision.**

MOVED Cr Hart
MOTION CARRIED (6/0)

WATER CORPORATION MAIN DRAINAGE IN FORRESTDAL

That the matter of inadequacies of the Water Corporation's main drainage in the Forrestdale area was referred to the Technical Services Committee by Cr Cominelli.

Cr Cominelli to provide further information to the Committee.

Residents in Forrestdale are currently charged drainage rates by the Water Corporation however the drainage in the area is inadequate and requires improvement. A Council approach to the Water Corporation requesting an improvement in the drainage of the area may influence the Water Corporation to improve its service.

T78/07/05 RECOMMEND

That Council request the Water Corporation to investigate works to improve the current drainage problems being experienced in the rated area of Forrestdale.

*MOVED Cr Cominelli
MOTION CARRIED (6/0)*

***FOOTPATH FROM HILL STREET/ PATERSON ROAD TO BROOKTON HIGHWAY,
KELMSCOTT***

The matter of construction of a footpath from Hill Street/Paterson Road to Brookton Highway, Kelmscott was referred to the Technical Services Committee by Cr Zelones.

Cr Zelones to provide further information to the Committee.

Due to increased development in the area the need for a footpath from Hill Street / Paterson Road to Brookton Highway has increased. Can officers reassess the position of this footpath on the programme.

The Acting Manager Technical Services indicated that the path is currently in the programme for 2010/11.

T79/07/05 RECOMMEND

That officers reassess the construction year of a footpath from Hill Street/Paterson Road to Brookton Highway on the footpath programme.

*MOVED Cr Everts
MOTION CARRIED (6/0)*

FOOTPATH ALONG CONNELL AVENUE ACROSS WRIGHT BROOK AND NORTH TO TURNER ROAD

The matter of a footpath along Connell Avenue across Wright Brook and North to Turner Road was referred to the Technical Services Committee by Cr Butterfield.

Cr Butterfield has provided the following further information to the Committee:

“Several members of the community have requested council construct a foot path along Connell Avenue, across Wright Brook and north to Turner Road in Kelmscott. Although previous requests have not been successful, rapid development has increased the population in the area enough to warrant further investigations regarding a footpath.

Traffic volumes are high and although a small roundabout serves to slow cars when they get to the bottom of the hill, vehicles are generally travelling above the speed limit because they have just come off a stretch of road where the limit 80km/ph (Connell Ave from Martin to Kelmscott).

Soft sand in front of two new homes, make walking on the road reserve difficult and pushing a pram or riding a bike, impossible. Drivers have slowed down and abused pedestrians walking on the road in that area, not realising the difficulties facing the walkers.”

T80/07/05 RECOMMEND

That officers investigate options for a footpath along Connell Avenue, across Wright Brook and north to Turner Road.

*MOVED Cr Butterfield
MOTION CARRIED (6/0)*

TENDER NO. 18/05 – MOWING OF PASSIVE RESERVES

WARD All
FILE REF TEN/18/05
DATE 20 July 2005
REF GP
RESPONSIBLE MANAGER MP

In Brief:

- Tender No. 18/05 was recently called for Mowing of Passive Reserves
- Four tenders were received by the specified closing time.
- The tender from Landscape Development has been assessed as being the most advantageous to Council.
- **Recommend**
That with Tender No. 18/05 – Mowing of Passive Reserves, Council accepts the tender of Landscape Development, for the period 8 August 2005 to 8 August 2007 in accordance with their submitted tender and Council's contract documentation.

Tabled Items

Tender documents; Tenders received; Summary of Tender Assessment.

Officer Interest Declaration

Nil.

Strategic Implications

Physical Infrastructure

2. *Implement long term preventative maintenance and refurbishment programmes.*
4. *Enhance townscapes and parkland to complement our natural environment and bushland reserves.*

Legislation Implications

Assessment of legislation indicates that the following regulations apply:

Local Government Act (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 s3.57 (11)(2)(f)

Council Policy/Local Law Implications

Assessment of Policy/Local Law indicates that the following are applicable:

Policy ENG 5 – Tendering and Purchasing

Budget/Financial Implications

Total current budget allocation within project costs - \$64,970 per annum.

Consultation

Nil.

BACKGROUND

Contract mowing services are used to mow fifty one non-irrigated reserves across the City.

13 of the reserves (Schedule A) are cut 8 times per year, the remainder (Schedule B) are cut 6 times per year.

EXISTING CONTRACT INFORMATION

Essential details of the existing contract are as follows:

Table 1 : Existing Contract Information

Current Contractor	Landscape Development
Contract Type	Schedule of Rates
Contract Duration	Two years
Commencement Date	7 August 2003
Expiry Date	7 August 2005
Extension Permitted	No
Annual Contract Cost	\$64,970
Total Contract Cost (2 Years)	\$129,940
Rise And Fall Clause Included	No

DETAILS OF PROPOSAL

The tender involves the mowing of 51 passive, non-irrigated reserves across the City. 13 of the reserves (Schedule A) are cut 8 times per year, the remainder (Schedule B) are cut 6 times per year.

NEW CONTRACT INFORMATION

Essential details of the new contract are as follows:

Table 2 : New Contract Information

Contract Type	Schedule of Rates
Contract Duration	Two years
Commencement Date	8 August 2005
Expiry Date	8 August 2007
Extension Permitted	No
Rise And Fall Included	No

SELECTION CRITERIA

The selection criteria and weightings for the evaluation of the tender are as follows.

Table 3 : Selection Criteria

ITEM No.	DESCRIPTION	WEIGHTING
1.	Capability/Competence of Tenderer to perform the work required	20%
2.	Experience of Tenderer in supplying similar goods or completing similar projects.	25%
3.	Understanding of requirement	20%
5.	Tendered Price	35%
	TOTAL	100%

TENDERS RECEIVED

Tenders were received from Landscape Development, Gecko Contracting, Turf Management Services WA and A Better Class Lawns and Gardens.

Details of tenders received are as follows:

Table 4 : Tenders Received

Company	Schedule A (8 Cuts)	Schedule B (6 Cuts)	Annual Expenditure
Landscape Development	\$3,069	\$6,737	\$64,970
Turf Management Services WA	\$3,124	\$6,644	\$64,856
Gecko Contracting	\$3,293	\$6,153	\$63,262
A Better Class Lawns and Gardens	\$5,033	\$7,010	\$82,324
Current Price	\$2,979	\$6,257	\$61,377

TENDER EVALUATION

All tenderers conformed with the requirements of the tender documents except that Turf Management Services WA and Gecko Contracting do not currently hold the required level of \$20 million Public Liability insurance cover.

After application of the selection criteria the tender from Landscape Development scored top points narrowly ahead of Turf Management Services WA and Gecko Contracting. All three tenderers would appear to have the resources and experience necessary to carry out the requirements of this contract.

It is recommended that Landscape Development be awarded the contract as they are the current contractor and have provided an excellent service over the past 2 years. A further advantage is that it would avoid the significant briefing/supervision time demands on Parks supervisory staff required whenever a new contractor is appointed.

The tendered prices from Landscape Development represent a 6% increase on their current prices. However two additional reserves have been added to the Schedules and there is also now an additional requirement to spray out the weeds in the playground soft fall pits.

ANTICIPATED ANNUAL EXPENDITURE

Expected to be \$64,970.

TENDER RANKINGS

The tender rankings resulting from the application of the selection criteria are as follows.

Table 5 : Tenders Rankings

Tenderer	Ranking
Landscape Development	1
Turf Management Services WA	2
Gecko Contracting	3
A Better Class Lawns and Gardens	4

CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the tender be awarded to Landscape Development as they ranked first in the tender evaluation.

T81/07/05 RECOMMEND

That with Tender No. 18/05 – Mowing of Passive Reserves, Council accepts the tender of Landscape Development, for the period 8 August 2005 to 8 August 2007 in accordance with their submitted tender and Council’s contract documentation.

*MOVED Cr Knezevich
MOTION CARRIED (6/0)*

TENDER NO. 19/05 – PRUNING AND REMOVAL OF TREES

WARD All
FILE REF TEN/19/05
DATE 20 July 2005
REF GP
RESPONSIBLE MP
MANAGER

In Brief:

- Tender No. 19/05 was recently called for the Pruning and Removal of Trees.
- Two tenders were received by the specified closing time.
- The tender from Beaver Tree Services has been assessed as being the most advantageous to Council.
- **Recommend**
That with Tender No. 19/05 - Supply of Tree Pruning and Removal Services, Council accepts the tender of Beaver Tree Services, for the period 8 August 2005 to 8 August 2007 in accordance with their submitted tender and Council's contract documentation.

Tabled Items

Tender documents; Tenders Received; Summary of Tender Assessment.

Officer Interest Declaration

Nil.

Strategic Implications

Physical Infrastructure

2. *Implement long term preventative maintenance and refurbishment programmes.*

Social Infrastructure

3. *Facilitate initiatives to improve the safety and security of the Community.*

Legislation Implications

Assessment of legislation indicates that the following regulations apply:

Local Government Act (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 s3.57 (11)(2)(f)

Council Policy/Local Law Implications

Assessment of Policy/Local Law indicates that the following are applicable:

Policy ENG 5 – Tendering and Purchasing

Policy ENG 6 – Street Tree Management

Budget/Financial Implications

Total current budget allocation within project costs - \$417,010 estimated annual cost.

Consultation

Nil.

BACKGROUND

Council has between 6,000 and 8,000 street trees under powerlines which need regular pruning to maintain the 2.5m clearance required by Western Power. Street trees will also need to be pruned away from the lead-in wire to the house in many instances.

Street trees on the non-wired side of the road are generally left to grow naturally but still require pruning to remove dead, diseased or dangerous limbs and maintain clearances off roads and over footpaths for both vehicle and pedestrian/cyclist safety. Trees within parks and reserves also need pruning to maintain clearances for mowing operations.

Tree removals are undertaken as a last resort when a tree is dead, diseased, severely damaged, dangerous or is causing unacceptable damage to infrastructure.

Major tree pruning and removal work is usually necessary in the aftermath of winter storms.

EXISTING CONTRACT INFORMATION

Essential details of the existing contract are as follows:

Table 1 : Existing Contract Information

Current Contractor	Beaver Tree Services
Contract Type	Schedule of Rates
Contract Duration	Two years
Commencement Date	7 August 2003
Expiry Date	7 August 2005
Extension Permitted	No
Annual Contract Cost	\$417,010
Total Contract Cost (2 years)	\$834,020
Rise And Fall Clause Included	No

DETAILS OF PROPOSAL

The tender involves the pruning, removal and stump grinding of trees within streetscapes, parks and bush reserves throughout the City.

NEW CONTRACT INFORMATION

Essential details of the new contract are as follows:

Table 2 : New Contract Information

Contract Type	Schedule of Rates
Contract Duration	Two years
Commencement Date	8 August 2005
Expiry Date	8 August 2007
Extension Permitted	No
Rise And Fall Included	No

SELECTION CRITERIA

The selection criteria and weightings for the evaluation of the tender are as follows.

Table 3 : Selection Criteria

ITEM No.	DESCRIPTION	WEIGHTING
1.	Capability/Competence of Tenderer to perform the work required	20%
2.	Experience of Tenderer in supplying similar goods or completing similar projects.	25%
3	Understanding of requirement	20%
5.	Tendered Price	35%
	TOTAL	100%

TENDERS RECEIVED

Tenders were received from Beaver Tree Services and Environmental Tree Care.

Details of tenders received are as follows:

Table 4 : Schedule A – Tree Pruning

Company	Non Wires	Est. No. Trees/ Yr	Total	Under Wires	Est. No. Trees/ Yr	Total	Est. Annual Cost
Beaver Tree Services							
Section prune	\$24.20	1200	\$29,040	\$25.30	1500	\$37,950	\$66,990
Under prune	\$27.50	150	\$4,125	\$15.30	550	\$8,415	\$12,540
Side prune	\$49.50	150	\$7,425	\$14.30	150	\$2,145	\$9,570
Flat top & under prune	n/a	n/a	\$0	\$33.00	4500	\$148,500	\$148,500
Section, under and side	\$38.50	150	\$5,775	\$29.70	150	\$4,455	\$10,230
Canopy thinning	\$313.50	50	\$15,675	n/a	n/a	\$0	\$15,675
Total							\$263,505
Environmental Tree Care							
Section prune	\$18.90	1200	\$22,680	\$18.90	1500	\$28,350	\$51,030
Under prune	\$14.90	150	\$2,235	\$14.90	550	\$8,195	\$10,430
Side prune	\$24.90	150	\$3,735	\$24.90	150	\$3,735	\$7,470
Flat top & under prune	n/a	n/a	\$0	\$27.90	4500	\$125,550	\$125,550
Section, under and side	\$24.90	150	\$3,735	\$24.90	150	\$3,735	\$7,470
Canopy thinning	\$87.00	50	\$4,350	n/a	n/a	\$0	\$4,350
Total							\$206,300

Table 5 : Schedule B – Tree Removal

Company	Price	Est. No. Trees/Yr	Est. Annual Cost
Beaver Tree Services			
0 - 3 m high	\$38.50	100	\$3,850.00
3.1 - 6m high	\$143.00	100	\$14,300.00
6.1 - 9 m high	\$385.00	50	\$19,250.00
9 metres high plus	\$715.00	75	\$53,625.00
Total			\$91,025.00
Environmental Tree Care			
0 - 3 m high	\$87.00	100	\$8,700.00
3.1 - 6m high	\$159.00	100	\$15,900.00
6.1 - 9 m high	\$179.00	50	\$8,950.00
9 metres high plus	\$199.00	75	\$14,925.00
Total			\$48,475.00

Table 6 : Schedule C – Stump Removal

Company	Price	Est. No. Trees/Yr	Est. Annual Cost
Beaver Tree Services			
Up to 500mm diameter	\$44.00	100	\$4,400.00
500-750mm diameter	\$66.00	100	\$6,600.00
750-1000mm diameter	\$88.00	50	\$4,400.00
>1000mm diameter	\$132.00	75	\$9,900.00
Total			\$25,300.00
Environmental Tree Care			
Up to 500mm diameter	\$119.00	100	\$11,900.00
500-750mm diameter	\$144.00	100	\$14,400.00
750-1000mm diameter	\$164.00	50	\$8,200.00
>1000mm diameter	\$180.00	75	\$13,500.00
Total			\$48,000.00

Table 7 : Schedule D – Root Grinding

Company	\$ / Hr	Est. Hrs/Yr	Est. Annual Cost
Beaver Tree Services	\$110.00	30	\$3,300.00
Environmental Tree Care	\$88.00	30	\$2,640.00

Table 8 : Schedule E – Emergency Call out and Clean up

Company	\$ / HR	Est. Hrs /Yr	Est. Annual Expenditure
Beaver Tree Services			
Emergency call out (make safe)	\$220.00	15	\$3,300.00
Clean up works (remove)	\$187.00	15	\$2,805.00
Total			\$6,105.00
Environmental Tree Care			
Emergency call out (make safe)	\$139.00	15	\$2,085.00
Clean up works (remove)	\$164.00	15	\$2,460.00
Total			\$4,545.00

Table 9 : Schedule F - Mulching

Company	\$ / HR	Est. Hrs /Yr	Est. Annual Expenditure
Beaver Tree Services			
Depot	\$137.50	150	\$20,625
On site	\$143.00	50	\$7,150
Total			\$27,775
Environmental Tree Care			
Depot	\$79.00	150	\$11,850
On site	\$139.00	50	\$6,950
Total			\$18,800

Table 10 : Summary of Estimated Annual Cost Based on Tenders Received

	Company		
	Beaver Tree Services	Environmental Tree Care	Current Price
Schedule A	\$263,505	\$206,300	\$261,993
Schedule B	\$91,025	\$48,475	\$85,800
Schedule C	\$25,300	\$48,000	\$25,300
Schedule D	\$3,300	\$2,640	\$3,300
Schedule E	\$6,105	\$4,545	\$5,940
Schedule F	\$27,775	\$18,800	\$27,500
TOTAL	\$417,010	\$328,760	\$409,833

TENDER EVALUATION

Beaver Tree Services have been Council's tree pruning and removals contractor for the past six years. They have a good record of providing a safe and professional service and have the plant, equipment and staff resources required to cope with the demands of this contract. Their tendered prices compare favourably with prices on an industry basis.

The tender from Beaver Tree Services conforms with the requirements of the tender documents and was ranked "1" when assessed according to the Selection Criteria in the Tender Documents.

Environmental Tree Care's tender does not conform with the requirements of the tender documents as they have not offered fixed prices.

[Section 2.11.1 "Special Conditions of Contract – Contract Type" states:

*The Contract shall be a 'schedule of rates' Contract and prices shall be **fixed** for the period of the contract.*

In Section 3.3.2 "Tenderer's Offer – Price Basis": *Are you prepared to offer a fixed price,* Environmental Tree Care have ticked "No"]

Based on their submission Environmental Tree Care do not have the plant, equipment and staff resources required to cope with the demands of this contract.

Environmental Tree Care's prices for *Schedule A: Tree Pruning* and *Schedule B: Removals* are very low given the amount of work involved and the standard to be met.

The tender from Environmental Tree Care was ranked "2" when assessed according to the Selection Criteria in the Tender Documents.

TENDER RANKINGS

The results of the evaluation after the application of the selection criteria indicates that the tender from Beaver Tree Services is the most advantageous to Council.

The tender rankings resulting from the application of the selection criteria are as follows.

Table 11 : Tender Rankings

Tenderer	Ranking
Beaver Tree Services	1
Environmental Tree Care	2

CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the tender be awarded to Beaver Tree Services as they have satisfied the selection criteria and are ranked number one in the tender evaluation.

The estimated annual expenditure of \$417,010 on tree pruning and removals if Beaver Tree Services is chosen represents an overall increase of 1.75% on their current tender and is within the 2005/06 Parks maintenance budget allocation.

T82/07/05 RECOMMEND

That with Tender No. 19/05 – Pruning and Removal of Trees, Council accepts the tender of Beaver Tree Services for the period 8 August 2005 to 8 August 2007 in accordance with their submitted tender and Council’s contract documentation.

*MOVED Cr Cominelli
MOTION CARRIED (6/0)*

Mr Gossmann left the meeting at 8.22pm and returned at 8.25pm.

TENDER NO. 20/05 – WEED SPRAYING SERVICES

WARD All
FILE REF TEN/20/05
DATE 20 July 2005
REF GP
RESPONSIBLE MANAGER MP

In Brief:

- Tender No. 20/05 was recently called for the Supply of Weed Spraying Services.
- Four tenders were received by the specified closing time.
- The tender from Supa Pest and Weed Control has been assessed as being the most advantageous to Council.
- **Recommend**
That with Tender No. 20/05 - Supply of Weed Spraying Services, Council accepts the tender of Supa Pest and Weed Control, for the period 8 August 2005 to 8 August 2007 in accordance with their submitted tender and Council's contract documentation.

Tabled Items

Tender documents; Tenders Received; Summary of Tender Assessment

Officer Interest Declaration

Nil.

Strategic Implications

Physical Infrastructure

Implement long term preventative maintenance and refurbishment programmes.

Legislation Implications

Assessment of legislation indicates that the following regulations apply:

Local Government Act (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 s3.57 (11)(2)(f)

Council Policy/Local Law Implications

Assessment of Policy/Local Law indicates that the following are applicable:

Policy ENG 5 – Tendering and Purchasing

Budget/Financial Implications

Total current budget allocation within project costs - \$103,179 per annum.

Consultation

Nil.

BACKGROUND

Herbicide spraying is used to control weed growth within the municipality on rural and major roads, within parks and reserves and on footpaths and cycleways.

With any spraying operation there is a need to be sensitive towards the potential environmental impact of such works. The tender specification spells out clearly Council's requirements in this regard – namely the need to avoid damage to native vegetation and minimize the possibility of contamination of water courses.

The successful contractor will be required to provide a detailed spraying protocol with an emphasis on environmental protection factors.

In this regard the Coordinator Armadale Gosnells Landcare Group has recently contributed to environmental guidelines relating to this operation.

As the value of the supplied service will now exceed \$50,000 per annum a tender to conform to the Local Government Act and Council's Purchasing Policy is required.

EXISTING CONTRACT INFORMATION

There is no existing contract. Weed spraying is currently carried out on a quotation basis by Supa Pest and Weed Control.

DETAILS OF PROPOSAL

The tender involves the supply of weed spraying services on road verges, parks, bush reserves, footpaths and cycleways throughout the City.

NEW CONTRACT INFORMATION

Essential details of the new contract are as follows:

Table 1 : New Contract Information

Contract Type	Schedule of Rates
Contract Duration	Two years
Commencement Date	8 August 2005
Expiry Date	8 August 2007
Extension Permitted	No
Rise And Fall Included	No

SELECTION CRITERIA

The selection criteria and weightings for the evaluation of the tender are as follows.

Table 2 : Selection Criteria

ITEM No.	DESCRIPTION	WEIGHTING
1.	Capability/Competence of Tenderer to perform the work required	20%
2.	Experience of Tenderer in supplying similar goods or completing similar projects.	25%
3	Understanding of requirement	20%
5.	Tendered Price	35%
	TOTAL	100%

TENDERS RECEIVED

Tenders were received from Supa Pest and Weed Control, Turfmaster, Ausmic Pest Control, Website Weed and Pest Pty Ltd.

Details of tenders received are as follows:

Table 3 : Schedule A - Rural Road Verges

Tenderer	Price	Expected No. of Applications Per Year	Estimated Annual Cost
Supa Pest and Weed Control	\$7,690.42	2	\$15,380.84
Website Weed and Pest	\$9,285.10	2	\$18,570.20
Turfmaster	\$13,765.00	2	\$27,530.00
Ausmic Pest Control	\$15,567.20	2	\$31,134.40

Table 4 : Schedule B - Major Road Verges

Tenderer	Price	Expected No. of Applications Per Year	Estimated Annual Cost
Website Weed and Pest	\$4,301.00	6	\$25,806.00
Turfmaster	\$5,960.00	6	\$35,760.00
Ausmic Pest Control	\$6,881.60	6	\$41,289.60
Supa Pest and Weed Control	\$7,434.80	6	\$44,608.80

Table 5 : Schedule C - Miscellaneous Road Verges

Tenderer	Price (\$/km)	Expected No. of Km/Year	Estimated Annual Cost
Supa Pest and Weed Control	\$44.40	100	\$4,440
Website Weed and Pest	\$55.00	100	\$5,500
Turfmaster	\$80.00	100	\$8,000
Ausmic Pest Control	No price	100	\$8,800*

* Estimated from Ausmic's Rural Roads rate

Table 6 : Schedule D - Reserves (Boom Spraying)

Tenderer	Price Bromoxynil	Price Fusilade	Price Dimension	Estimated Annual Cost
Supa Pest and Weed	\$80	\$380	\$330	\$24,300
Ausmic Pest Control	\$133	\$440	\$440	\$33,050
Turfmaster	\$180	No price	\$480	\$37,000*
Website Weed and Pest	\$121	\$330	\$605	\$39,600

* Adjusted up to allow for omitted Fusilade price

Table 7 : Schedule D - Reserves (Hand Spraying)

Tenderer	Price Bromoxynil	Price Fusilade	Price Dimension	Estimated Annual Cost
Supa Pest and Weed				\$1,244
< 100m2	\$0.066	\$0.098	\$0.099	
100 – 1000m2	\$0.051	\$0.055	\$0.09	
>1000m2	\$0.042	\$0.042	\$0.079	
Turfmaster				\$1,210
< 100m2	\$0.05	\$0.10	\$0.08	
100 – 1000m2	\$0.04	\$0.10	\$0.07	
>1000m2	\$0.03	\$0.07	\$0.065	
Ausmic Pest Control				\$6,060
< 100m2	\$0.13	\$0.44	\$0.44	
100 – 1000m2	\$0.13	\$0.44	\$0.44	
>1000m2	\$0.13	\$0.44	\$0.44	
Website Weed and Pest				\$14,800
< 100m2	\$1.21	\$1.76	\$2.20	
100 – 1000m2	\$0.33	\$0.44	\$0.55	
>1000m2	\$0.22	\$0.25	\$0.44	

Table 8 : Schedule E - Paths/Cycleways (Boom Spraying)

Tenderer	Price (\$/m)	Expected No. of Lineal Metres/Year	Expected No. of Applications Per Year	Estimated Annual Cost
Ausmic Pest Control	\$0.022	260,000	2	\$11,440
Website Weed and Pest	\$0.022	260,000	2	\$11,440
Supa Pest and Weed Control	\$0.0245	260,000	2	\$12,740
Turfmaster	\$0.07	260,000	2	\$36,400

Table 9 : Schedule E - Paths/Cycleways (Hand Spraying)

Tenderer	Price (m²)	Estimated m2 Per Year	Estimated Annual Cost
Supa Pest and Weed			\$465
< 100m2	\$0.058	3000	
100 – 1000m2	\$0.055	3000	
>1000m2	\$0.042	3000	
Website Weed and Pest			\$4,125
< 100m2	\$0.99	3000	
100 – 1000m2	\$0.22	3000	
>1000m2	\$0.165	3000	
Turfmaster			\$450
< 100m2	\$0.05	3000	
100 – 1000m2	\$0.05	3000	
>1000m2	\$0.05	3000	
Ausmic Pest Control			\$1,980
< 100m2	\$0.22	3000	
100 – 1000m2	\$0.22	3000	
>1000m2	\$0.22	3000	

Table 10 : Summary of Estimated Total Annual Expenditure Based on Tenders Received

	Supa Pest and Weed	Website Weed and Pest	Ausmic Pest Control	Turfmaster
Schedule A	\$15,381	\$18,570	\$31,134	\$27,530
Schedule B	\$44,609	\$25,806	\$41,290	\$35,760
Schedule C	\$4,440	\$5,500	No price	\$8,000
Schedule D Boom	\$24,300	\$39,600	\$33,050	\$33,000
Schedule D Hand	\$1,244	\$14,800	\$6,060	\$1,210
Schedule E Boom	\$12,740	\$11,440	\$11,440	\$36,400
Schedule E Hand	\$465	\$4,125	\$1,980	\$450
Estimated Annual Cost	\$103,179	\$119,841	\$124,954	\$142,350

TENDER EVALUATION

All tenderers conformed with the requirements of the tender documents.

Supa Pest and Weed Control, Ausmic Pest Control and Turfmaster all have many years experience in the herbicide application practices required under this contract.

Website Weed and Pest Pty Ltd also has experience in the type of work required but has only been operating since 2000.

TENDER RANKINGS

The results of the evaluation after the application of the selection criteria indicates that the tender from Supa Pest and Weed Control is the most advantageous to Council.

The tender rankings resulting from the application of the selection criteria are as follows.

Table 11 : Tender Rankings

Tenderer	Ranking
Supa Pest and Weed Control	1
Turfmaster	2
Ausmic Pest Control	3
Website Weed and Pest Pty Ltd	4

CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the tender be awarded to Supa Pest and Weed Control as they have satisfied the selection criteria and are ranked number one in the tender evaluation.

The estimated annual expenditure of \$103,179 on herbicide spraying of road verges, parks and reserves, footpaths and cycleways is chosen is within the 2005/06 combined maintenance budget allocations of both Parks and Reserves and Civil Works.

T83/07/05 RECOMMEND

That with Tender No. 20/05 - Supply of Weed Spraying Services, Council accepts the tender of Supa Pest and Weed Control for the period 8 August 2005 to 8 August 2007 in accordance with their submitted tender and Council's contract documentation.

MOVED Cr Hart

MOTION CARRIED (6/0)

TENDER NO. 21/05 - SUPPLY OF SAND

WARD All
FILE REF TEN/21/05
DATE 25 July 2005
REF JC
RESPONSIBLE MCW
MANAGER

In Brief:

- Tender No. 21/05 was recently called for the supply of fill, reinstatement and brickies sand.
- Four tenders were received by the specified closing time.
- The tender from WA Limestone has been assessed as the most advantageous to Council.
- **Recommend**
That with Tender No. 21/05 - Supply of Sand, Council accepts the tender from WA Limestone for the period 8 August 2005 to 30 June 2006 in accordance with their submitted tender and Council's contract documentation.

Tabled Items

Tender documents; tenders received.

Officer Interest Declaration

Nil.

Strategic Implications

Corporate Services

To achieve maximum community benefit from effective use of resources (staff, finances and information technology).

Legislation Implications

Assessment of legislation indicates that the following regulations apply:

Local Government Act (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 s3.57 (11)(2)(f)

Council Policy/Local Law Implications

Assessment of Policy/Local Law indicates that the following are applicable:

Policy ENG 5 – Tendering and Purchasing

Budget/Financial Implications

Total current budget allocation within project costs.

Consultation

Nil.

BACKGROUND

During the course of construction and maintenance operations for parks, reserves, roads and associated works, there is a need to have different types of sand supplied to the work sites to achieve completion of the works at the approved schedule. As the value of this work will exceed \$50,000 a tender to conform to the Local Government Act and Council's Purchasing Policy is required.

Three different types of sand will be required to be supplied to the works or to the Council's Depot located in Owen Road, Kelmscott.

EXISTING CONTRACT INFORMATION

The existing contract information is as follows:

Table 1 : Existing Contract Information

Current/Former Contractor	WA Limestone
Contract Type	Schedule of Rates
Contract Duration	One year
Commencement Date	1 August 2004
Expiry Date	30 June 2005
Extension Permitted	No
Annual Contract Cost	\$100,430
Rise And Fall Clause Included	No

DETAILS OF PROPOSAL

The Contract involves the supply of different types of sand for a period of one year to Council's Depot and different projects within the City. The outcome of this contract is to facilitate the operations of Civil Works and Parks and Reserves.

NEW CONTRACT INFORMATION

Essential details of the new contract are as follows:

Table 2 : New Contract Information

Contract Type	Schedule of Rates
Contract Duration	10 Months
Commencement Date	8 August 2005
Expiry Date	30 June 2006
Extension Permitted	No
Rise And Fall Included	No

SELECTION CRITERIA

The selection criteria and weightings for the evaluation of the tender are as follows:

Table 3 : Selection Criteria

ITEM No.	DESCRIPTION	WEIGHTING
1.	Capability/Competence of Tenderer to perform the work required	15%
2.	Experience of Tenderer in supplying similar goods or completing similar projects.	20%
3	Understanding of requirement	15%
5.	Tendered Price	50%
	TOTAL	100%

TENDERS RECEIVED

Tenders were received from WA Limestone, Roadstone Quarries, Readymix Holdings and Rocla Quarries and at the closing date.

Details of the tender received are as follows:

Table 4 : Tenders Received

	PRICE PER TONNE					
	Current	WA Limestone	Roadstone Quarries	Readymix Holdings	Rocla Quarries	Increase (%)
Schedule A – Fill Sand						
Kelmscott	\$7.59	\$8.14	\$8.58	\$9.15	\$10.78	9
Armadale	\$7.59	\$8.14	\$7.15	\$9.45	\$10.10	9
Roleystone	\$10.34	\$9.24	\$9.79	\$10.50	\$11.61	11
Schedule B – Reinstatement Sand						
Kelmscott	\$8.47	\$8.14	\$8.58	N/A	\$10.81	-4
Armadale	\$8.47	\$8.14	\$7.15	N/A	\$10.04	-4
Roleystone	\$9.35	\$9.24	\$9.79	N/A	\$12.07	-1
Schedule C – Brickies Sand						
Kelmscott	\$10.45	\$10.45	N/A	N/A	\$14.61	0
Armadale	\$10.45	\$10.45	N/A	N/A	\$13.72	0
Roleystone	\$11.44	\$12.32	N/A	N/A	\$15.76	8

TENDER EVALUATION

Evaluation of the tender shows that the tenderers have been involved in the construction industry for a number of years and have experience with local government contracts.

The result of the evaluation after applying the selection criteria shows that the tender from WA Limestone is the most advantageous to Council.

ANTICIPATED ANNUAL EXPENDITURE

The current approximately cost per year for the supply of sand is as follows:

Table 5 : Anticipated Annual Expenditure

Sand type	Fill sand	Reinstatement sand	Brickies sand
Annual Estimated Quantity	6,500tonne	5,500tonne	500tonne
Current price/tonne	\$8.14	\$8.14	\$10.45
Annual Cost	\$52,910.00	\$44,700.00	\$5,225.00

T84/07/05 RECOMMEND

That with Tender No. 21/05 - Supply of Sand, Council accepts the tender from WA Limestone for the period 8 August 2005 to 30 June 2006 in accordance with their submitted tender and Council's contract documentation.

*MOVED Cr Butterfield
MOTION CARRIED (6/0)*

TENDER NO. 22/05 - SUPPLY OF MINERAL AGGREGATE

WARD All
FILE REF TEN/22/05
DATE 25 July 2005
REF JC
RESPONSIBLE MANAGER MCW

In Brief:

- Tender No. 22/05 was recently called for the Supply of Mineral Aggregate material.
- Three tenders were received by the specified closing time.
- The tender from WA Blue Metal has been assessed as being the most advantageous to Council.
- **Recommend**
That with Tender No. 22/05 - Supply of Mineral Aggregate, Council accepts the tender from WA Blue Metal for the period 8 August 2005 to 30 June 2006 in accordance with their submitted tender and Council's contract documentation.

Tabled Items

Tender documents; tenders received.

Officer Interest Declaration

Nil.

Strategic Implications

Corporate Services

To achieve maximum community benefit from effective use of resources (staff, finances and information technology).

Legislation Implications

Assessment of legislation indicates that the following regulations apply:

Local Government Act (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 s3.57 (11)(2)(f)

Council Policy/Local Law Implications

Assessment of Policy/Local Law indicates that the following are applicable:

Policy ENG 5 – Tendering and Purchasing

Budget/Financial Implications

Total current budget allocation within project costs.

Consultation

Nil.

BACKGROUND

During the course of construction and maintenance operations for roadworks, there is a need to have mineral aggregates supplied to stockpiles at Council's Depot or the work sites of City operations to achieve completion of the works on schedule. As the value of the supplied service will exceed \$50,000 per annum a tender to conform to the Local Government Act and Council's Purchasing Policy is required.

EXISTING CONTRACT INFORMATION

Essential details of the existing contract are as follows:

Table 1 : Existing Contract Information

Current Contractor	WA Blue Metal
Contract Type	Schedule of Rates
Contract Duration	One year
Commencement Date	1 July 2004
Expiry Date	30 June 2005
Extension Permitted	No
Annual Contract Cost	Within projects
Total Contract Cost	Within projects
Rise And Fall Clause Included	No

DETAILS OF PROPOSAL

The tender involves the supply of mineral aggregates to be used in different roadwork's projects within the City operations.

NEW CONTRACT INFORMATION

Essential details of the new contract are as follows:

Table 2 : New Contract Information

Contract Type	Schedule of Rates
Contract Duration	10 months
Commencement Date	8 August 2005
Expiry Date	30 June 2006
Extension Permitted	No
Rise And Fall Included	No

SELECTION CRITERIA

The selection criteria and weightings for the evaluation of the tender are as follows.

Table 3 : Selection Criteria

ITEM No.	DESCRIPTION	WEIGHTING
1.	Capability/Competence of Tenderer to perform the work required	15%
2.	Experience of Tenderer in supplying similar goods or completing similar projects	20%
3.	Understanding of requirement	15%
4.	Tendered Price/s	50%
	TOTAL	100%

TENDERS RECEIVED

Tenders were received from WA Blue Metal, Readymix Holdings and Boral Quarries.
Details of tenders received are as follows:

Table 4: Tenders Received

Description	Current price	WA Blue Metal	Readymix Holdings	Boral Quarries	Increase (%)
14mm Calibrated Granite					
Supplied and loaded at quarry	18.40	\$19.80	\$22.45	\$21.50	8
Supplied & Delivered to					
Armadale	\$20.67	\$23.10	\$25.60	\$27.25	12
Kelmscott	\$20.67	\$23.10	\$25.40	\$26.75	13
Roleystone	\$20.47	\$24.20	\$26.05	\$28.60	17
7mm Granite					
Supplied and loaded at quarry	\$17.85	\$22.00	\$22.00	\$24.00	23
Supplied & Delivered to					
Armadale	\$20.12	\$25.30	\$26.15	\$29.70	26
Kelmscott	\$20.12	\$25.30	\$24.95	\$29.25	27
Roleystone	\$19.92	\$26.40	\$25.60	\$30.90	31
10mm Granite					
Supplied and loaded at quarry	\$17.85	\$19.80	\$22.00	\$22.80	11
Supplied & Delivered to					
Armadale	\$20.12	\$23.10	\$25.15	\$28.50	16
Kelmscott	\$19.92	\$23.10	\$24.95	\$28.50	16
Roleystone	\$20.21	\$24.20	\$25.60	\$29.70	20
14mm Granite					
Supplied and loaded at quarry	\$17.85	\$19.80	\$22.00	\$20.40	11
Supplied & Delivered to					
Armadale	\$20.12	\$23.10	\$25.15	\$26.15	15
Kelmscott	\$19.92	\$23.10	\$24.95	\$25.65	16
Roleystone	\$20.21	\$24.20	\$25.60	\$27.30	20

TENDER EVALUATION

Evaluation of tenderers shows that the tenderers have been involved in the construction industry for a number of years and have experience with local government contracts in the production and delivery of mineral aggregate material.

According to the above, the results of the evaluation after the application of the selection criteria have showed that the tender from WA Blue Metal is the most advantageous to Council.

T85/07/05 RECOMMEND

That with Tender No. 22/05 - Supply of Mineral Aggregate, Council accepts the tender from WA Blue Metal for the period 8 August 2005 to 30 June 2006 in accordance with their submitted tender and Council's contract documentation.

MOVED Cr Best

MOTION CARRIED (6/0)

TENDER NO. 23/05 - SUPPLY OF ROAD FOUNDATION MATERIAL – ROCK BASE

WARD All
FILE REF TEN/23/05
DATE 27 July 2005
REF JC
RESPONSIBLE MANAGER MCW

In Brief:

- Tender No. 23/05 was recently called for the Supply of Road Foundation Materials – Rock Base.
- Three tenders were received by the specified closing time.
- The tender form Readymix Holdings has been assessed as being the most advantageous to council.
- **Recommend**
That with Tender No. 23/05 - Supply of Road Foundation Material – Rock Base, Council accepts the tender from Readymix Holdings for the period 8 August 2005 to 30 June 2006 in accordance with their submitted tender and Council's contract documentation.

Tabled Items

Tender documents; tenders received.

Officer Interest Declaration

Nil.

Strategic Implications

Corporate Services

To achieve maximum community benefit from effective use of resources (staff, finances and information technology).

Legislation Implications

Assessment of legislation indicates that the following regulations apply:

Local Government Act (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 s3.57 (11)(2)(f)

Council Policy/Local Law Implications

Assessment of Policy/Local Law indicates that the following are applicable:

Policy ENG 5 – Tendering and Purchasing

Budget/Financial Implications

Total current budget allocation within project costs.

Consultation

Nil.

BACKGROUND

During the course of construction and maintenance operations for roadworks, there is a need to have road foundation materials supplied to Council's Depot stockpiles or the work sites of the City operations to achieve completion of the works to the approved schedule. As the value of the supplied service will exceed \$50,000 per annum a tender to conform to the Local Government Act and Council's Purchasing Policy is required.

EXISTING CONTRACT INFORMATION

Essential details of the existing contract are as follows:

Table 1: Existing Contract Information

Current Contractor	Readymix Holdings.
Contract Type	Schedule of Rates
Contract Duration	One year
Commencement Date	1 July 2004
Expiry Date	30 June 2005
Extension Permitted	No
Annual Contract Cost	Within projects
Total Contract Cost	Within projects
Rise And Fall Clause Included	No

DETAILS OF PROPOSAL

The tender involves the supply of rock base to be used in different roadworks projects within the City operations.

NEW CONTRACT INFORMATION

Essential details of the new contract are as follows:

Table 2: New Contract Information

Contract Type	Schedule of Rates
Contract Duration	One year
Commencement Date	8 August 2005
Expiry Date	30 June 2006
Extension Permitted	No
Rise And Fall Included	No

SELECTION CRITERIA

The selection criteria and weightings for the evaluation of the tender are as follows.

Table 3: Selection Criteria

ITEM No.	DESCRIPTION	WEIGHTING
1.	Capability/Competence of Tenderer to perform the work required	15%
2.	Experience of Tenderer in supplying similar goods or completing similar projects	20%
3.	Understanding of requirement	15%
4.	Tendered Price/s	50%
	TOTAL	100%

TENDERS RECEIVED

Details of the tender received are as follows:

Table 4: Tenders Received

Material	Current Price	Readymix	WA Blue Metal	Boral Quarries	Increase %
Rock Base					
Supplied and loaded at quarry	\$6.00	\$7.40	\$7.70	\$7.70	23
Supplied & Delivered to					
Armadale	\$8.55	\$10.25	\$11.00	\$13.40	20
Kelmscott	\$8.20	\$9.90	\$11.00	\$12.95	21
Roleystone	\$8.70	\$10.45	\$12.10	\$14.60	20
Rock Base (Pugmilled)					
Supplied and loaded at quarry	\$6.00	\$7.40	\$8.80	\$7.70	23
Supplied & Delivered to					
Armadale	\$8.55	\$10.25	\$12.10	\$13.40	20
Kelmscott	\$8.20	\$9.90	\$12.10	\$12.95	21
Roleystone	\$8.70	\$10.45	\$13.20	\$14.60	20

TENDER EVALUATION

Evaluation of tenderers shows that the tenderers have been involved in the construction industry for a number of years and have experience with local government contracts in the production and delivery of limestone rubble material.

According to the above, the results of the evaluation after the application of the selection criteria has showed that the tender from Readymix Holdings is the most advantageous to Council

ANTICIPATED ANNUAL EXPENDITURE

Expected to be \$200,000.

T86/07/05 RECOMMEND

That with Tender No. 23/05 - Supply of Road Foundation Material – Rock Base, Council accepts the tender from Readymix Holdings for the period 8 August 2005 to 30 June 2006 in accordance with their submitted tender and Council’s contract documentation.

MOVED Cr Everts
MOTION CARRIED (6/0)

TENDER NO. 28/05 – SUPPLY OF ENGINEERING DESIGN, TRAFFIC AND ROAD SAFETY SERVICES

WARD All
FILE REF TEN/28/05
DATE 20 July 2005
REF JG
RESPONSIBLE EDTS
MANAGER

In Brief:

- Tender No. 28/05 was recently called for Supply of Engineering Design, Traffic and Road Safety Services.
- 11 tenders were received by the specified closing time.
- The tenders from Connell Wagner, Cardno BSD, SKM, Worley Parsons and Opus International have been assessed as being the most advantageous to Council.
- **Recommend**
That with Tender No. 28/05 – Supply of Engineering Design, Traffic and Road Safety Services, Council accept the tenders from Connell Wagner, Cardno BSD, SKM, Worley Parsons and Opus International, for the period 1 September 2005 to 1 September 2007 in accordance with their submitted tender, Council's contract documentation and budget allocations.

Tabled Items

Tender documents; tenders received; summary of tender assessment.

Officer Interest Declaration

Nil.

Strategic Implications

Corporate Services

To achieve maximum community benefit from effective use of resources (staff, finances and information technology).

Legislation Implications

Assessment of legislation indicates that the following regulations apply:

Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 s3.57 (11)(2)(f).

Council Policy/Local Law Implications

Assessment of Policy/Local Law indicates that the following are applicable:

Policy ENG 5 – Tendering and Purchasing

Budget/Financial Implications

All works will be funded from within existing departmental budget allocations or directly funded from approved works or projects.

Consultation

Nil.

BACKGROUND

Reference is made to the report presented to the June 2005 Technical Services Committee – Staffing Replacements – Technical Services Directorate.

Based on the facts mentioned in the June report, Council called Tender 28/05 – Supply of Engineering Design, Traffic and Road Safety Services, as a combined action together with the City of Gosnells.

To assist in the delivery of the City's civil Capital Works Program, supplementary design and contract supervision is currently sourced from various consulting engineers. Some of these projects require specialist expertise in the field of structural design, electrical (street lighting and traffic signals) geotechnical and Landscaping/Streetscape.

For each project three quotes are obtained (or tenders called where fees are likely to be above \$50,000) to provide the consultancy service. This process involves large administration and time components to process the project. Also the outsourced projects generally relate to the Capital Works approved in the Annual Budget. The current focus is more on an immediate delivery of projects rather than at a strategic level.

The purpose of this contract is to:

- *assist the in-house design team to achieve the City's design program and in the provision of traffic and road safety services;*
- *provide an ability to respond quickly to short term demands;*
- *provide expertise where the in-house team may have a shortfall; and,*
- *achieve a 12 month's lead time between design and construction.*

The Consultant is required to provide a total service on the design, (also tender documentation and contract Superintendence where required) to the City to meet required targets.

To achieve the best outcome for the City a panel of Consultants should be appointed.

The City of Gosnells and the City of Armadale may select the same or different consultants to form their preferred panel from the tenders received.

Work shall generally be allocated to panel members based on the contract evaluation criteria, the availability of the requested personnel at the required time and the consultant's performance during the term of the contract.

The City does not guarantee that the Consultant will have a minimum amount of consultancy work from the contract.

EXISTING CONTRACT INFORMATION

Nil.

DETAILS OF PROPOSAL

A public invitation from competent Consultants for the Supply of Engineering Design, Traffic and Road Safety Services was published in the West Australian on Saturday 11 June, 2005 and closed on Tuesday 28 June, 2005.

At the close of submissions eleven tenders were received.

The proposed contract is based on a schedule of fixed percentage price of the actual project cost. The Consultant was also required to include in the tender a completed Schedule of Hourly Rates, which will be used as the basis for consultancy for any variation or extra work within the Scope. The hourly rates tendered in Price Schedule 2 were not taken into consideration in the price assessment score

Tenderers were required to submit lump sum percentage fee prices for both Design and Drafting and Tender Documentation with the Contract Administration option. Lump sum percentage FEES submitted in Schedule 1 were requested to be inclusive of GST and shall be applied to the AGREED construction estimate for the project less estimated service relocation costs.

NEW CONTRACT INFORMATION

Essential details of the new contract are as follows:

Table 1 : New Contract Information

Contract Type	Schedule of Rates
Contract Duration	2 Years
Commencement Date	September 2003
Expiry Date	September 2005
Extension Permitted	Yes – Option for One Year
Rise And Fall Included	No – Fixed Price

SELECTION CRITERIA

The selection criteria and weightings for the evaluation of the tender are as follows:

Table 3 : Selection Criteria

ITEM No.	DESCRIPTION	WEIGHTING
1.	Relevant Experience / Track Record	25%
2.	Technical Skills	25%
3.	Management	10%
4.	Tendered Price/s	40%
	TOTAL	100%

TENDERS RECEIVED

Details of tenders received are as follows:

Table 4 : Tenders Received

Tenderer	Percentage/Hourly Rate
Connell Wagner	See Attachment A1
Cardno BSD	See Attachment A2
SKM	See Attachment A3
Worley Parsons	See Attachment A4
Opus International	See Attachment A5
B G & E	See Attachment A6
ARUP	See Attachment A7
GHD	See Attachment A8
Parsons Brinckerhoff	See Attachment A9
McDowall Affleck	See Attachment A10
Voran	See Attachment A11

TENDER EVALUATION

The assessment of Price was difficult because of the wide range of services involved and the additional requirement to price documentation and work superintendence. The design component was used for the primary price assessment, documentation and superintendence secondary.

The price schedule submitted by Cardno BSD was exclusive of GST which was contrary to the tender requirements. Even with the additional 10% added for GST Cardno BSD was generally the cheapest bid.

The best overall submission was received from Connell Wagner.

ESTIMATED COSTS

The annual cost of this contract is variable depending upon the type of advice required and the workload requirements of Council staff. All expenditure will be maintained within allocated departmental budgets or directly charged against major projects

Where appropriate quotations will be sought from members of the proposed panel prior to allocating works.

TENDER RANKINGS

The tender rankings resulting from the application of the selection criteria are as follows:

Table 5 : Tender Ranking

Tenderer	Ranking
Connell Wagner	1
Cardno BSD	2
SKM	3
Worley Parsons	4
Opus International	5
B G & E	6
ARUP	7
GHD	8
Parsons Brinckerhoff	9
McDowall Affleck	10
Voran	11

CONCLUSION

All 11 tenderers were evaluated and it is recommended that the tenders submitted by:

1. Connell Wagner
2. Cardno BSD
3. SKM
4. Worley Parsons
5. Opus International

be accepted to be included on a panel of consultants for a two year period with an option for an extension for a further one year, subject to Council's approval.

T87/07/05 RECOMMEND

That with Tender No. 28/05 – Supply of Engineering Design, Traffic and Road Safety Services, Council accept the tenders from Connell Wagner, Cardno BSD, SKM, Worley Parsons and Opus International, for the period 1 September 2005 to 1 September 2007 in accordance with their submitted tender, Council's contract documentation and budget allocations.

MOVED Cr Butterfield
MOTION CARRIED (6/0)

WASTE AND RECYCLE 2005 CONFERENCE

WARD All
FILE REF ENG/1
DATE 17 July 2005
REF LEK
RESPONSIBLE EDTS
MANAGER

In Brief:

- Outline of proceedings for the Waste and Recycle 2005 Conference.
- **Recommend**
 1. That Council nominate to attend the Waste and Recycle 2005 Conference to be held at The Esplanade Hotel, Fremantle between Tuesday, 23 August and Friday, 26 August 2005 inclusive, with costs to be charged to GL 7040333.7504.702.
or
 2. Should no nomination be received, then the recommendation be as follows:
That no nomination be made for attendance at the Waste and Recycle 2005 Conference.
 3. That Council note the attendance of the Coordinator Waste Services and the Waste Services Officer at the Waste and Recycle 2005 Conference to be held at The Esplanade Hotel, Fremantle between Tuesday, 23 August and Friday, 26 August 2005 inclusive, with costs to be charged to GL 7121101.7106.701.

Tabled Items

Nil.

Officer Interest Declaration

Nil.

Strategic Implications

Communication

Stronger communication links with Government and other groups.

Legislation Implications

General assessment of relevant legislation (eg. Local Government Act) has not revealed any restrictions.

Council Policy/Local Law Implications

Assessment of Policy / Local Law indicates that the following are applicable:

ADM3 – Conferences Seminars and Training

Budget/Financial Implications

The cost to attend the general conference is \$695.00 per person with Pre-Conference Tours and Workshops costing a further \$160.00.

Consultation

Nil.

BACKGROUND

The Waste and Recycle 2005 Conference is to be held at The Esplanade Hotel, on Tuesday, 23rd August to Friday, 26 August 2005.

This annual conference is one of the State's major conferences on Waste and Recycling. The purpose of the conference is to provide a forum for information exchange on emerging areas of waste management. It will offer delegates the opportunity to network with others, obtain information on the latest developments in waste management and to find "best practice" solutions. The Conference features 4 half day Pre-Conference Tours and 2 half day Workshops, and three days of presentations. A trade exhibition will also feature at the conference. This will give the delegates the opportunity to liaise with consultants, government and industry representatives involved in the waste industry.

In accordance with Council Policy ADM3, Council approval is required for registration of an Elected Member to attend the Conference.

COMMENT

The theme this year has been created to encompass the entire waste management industry.

The presentations are aimed at clarifying each sectors role and their associated responsibility to assist in making recycling an economic reality. With leading experts from Australia wide and overseas partaking in the conference, new ideas will be fostered which will act as a platform for creating solutions relating to current problems faced around the world within in the industry

The four keynote speakers at the conference are:

Tom Galimberti is a principal in the consulting firm, Global Environmental Management Systems Ltd. He has over fifteen years experience in the field of environmental management and is considered to be one of the world's leading experts in the development of public and private sector performance based environmental management systems. Some of the more notable environmental management milestones where he has had the privilege to play a leadership role and which have successfully demonstrated that wealth creation and environmental protection can go "hand-in-hand" include:

- the siting of the world's first zero liquid effluent pulp mill at Meadow Lake, Saskatchewan,
- the establishment of the world's first industry operated, performance based environmental management system for household hazardous products (paint, pesticides, solvents, gas and pharmaceuticals), developed and implemented in British Columbia, and
- the first performance based environmental management system in Canada to regulate large industrial sites, with seven of British Columbia's largest corporations.

Tom's presentations will cover topics including:

- Product stewardship.
- Cost/benefit analysis of contaminated sites clean up.
- Facilitation of Business/Government partnerships in contaminated sites clean up.
- Roles and Responsibilities in Policy and Program Development.

Barry Carbon has been Chief Executive of the Ministry for the Environment in New Zealand for two and a half years. Prior to his appointment at the Ministry, he served as Director-General of the Queensland Environment Protection Agency. Barry has had a distinguished career in environmental management in Australia. As inaugural Director-General of the Queensland EPA, and its subsidiary Parks and Wildlife Service from 1999 to 2001, he had responsibility for coasts, parks, land and marine world heritage areas, wildlife protection, natural and cultural heritage, and environment protection in Queensland.

Barry's presentations will touch on the following topics:

- A unique approach to Roles and Responsibilities between levels of New Zealand Governments.
- Local Government delivery service.
- Defining Roles and Responsibilities between regional and federal governments in achieving zero waste.

Matthew Cotton has over 16 years experience in developing and permitting composting projects. His company has provided technical consulting services to public and private clients in California for over ten years. In over sixteen years of work he has completed hundreds of significant solid waste projects, including permitting and assisting in the development of some of the major composting facilities in California.

Mr. Cotton has been intimately involved with the development of the California composting industry since the passing of California's landmark solid waste diversion law. Although primarily working on the compost facility developer side (supply side) his two major surveys of the California composting and mulch producing industries (funded by the California Integrated Waste Management Board) were the first to document that agriculture is the single largest market for compost in California (demand side).

Matthew will expand on the following issues:

- Strategic roles for key players in developing major markets and facilities for organics.
- Who is responsible for developing compost standards?
- Planning and approving facilities—where does the responsibility stop?

Gareth Lloyd is Director of Communications and Awareness for WRAP. He heads up a team that is responsible for developing a high profile public awareness programme on recycling and waste minimization, including the major Recycle Now PR and advertising campaign to engage the public.

Gareth joined WRAP from National Grid Transco where he held the position of Director of Communications. His responsibilities included running national safety advertising campaigns supported by public relations, as well as behavioural campaigns to support a drive for the highest levels of workplace safety. He has over 20 years communications experience in both the private and public sectors, including a period in Australia where he was responsible for energy and environmental clients.

Gareth will present on:

- Recycle Now—National Structures and responsibilities in recycling promotion/delivery (UK).
- Allocating Roles in defining UK's journey to viable alternative uses for waste.
- The role of autonomous authorities for solutions to recycling/reuse issues.

The Conference Programme is at Attachment "A12" of the Agenda (See Summary of Attachments – Green Page).

Two full registrations are to be acquired for use by the Coordinator Waste Services and Waste Services Officer with the cost being allocated from GL71211101.7106.701

Council's Elected Members' Conference Budget GL7040333.7504.702 provides sufficient funds for elected member representation.

T88/07/05 RECOMMEND

- 1. That Council nominate to attend the Waste and Recycle 2005 Conference to be held at The Esplanade Hotel, Fremantle between Tuesday, 23 August and Friday, 26 August 2005 inclusive, with costs to be charged to GL7040333.7504.702.**

Or

- 2. Should no nomination be received, then the recommendation be as follows:**

That no nomination be made for attendance at the Waste and Recycle 2005 Conference.

- 3. That Council note the attendance of the Coordinator Waste Services and the Waste Services Officer at the Waste and Recycle 2005 Conference to be held at The Esplanade Hotel, Fremantle between Tuesday, 23 August and Friday, 26 August 2005 inclusive, with costs to be charged to GL71211101.7106.701**

MOVED Cr Everts
MOTION CARRIED (6/0)

COMMERCE AVENUE, ARMADALE – RECONSTRUCTION AND STREETSCAPING

WARD Minnawarra
FILE REF ENG/43;
RDC/95
DATE 22 July 2005
REF JG
RESPONSIBLE EDTS
MANAGER

In Brief:

- To continue the construction of Commerce Avenue as originally approved in February 2004.
- **Recommend**
That Council note the continuation of construction of Commerce Avenue, as shown on Drawings 03-97, 05-46/0 and 05-46/1, including the roundabout at the intersection of Hobbs Drive, Commerce Avenue and Church Avenue but not extend the road works north further than the main bus access point on the western side of Commerce Avenue.

Tabled Items

Drawings 03-97, 05-46/0 and 05-46/1.

Officer Interest Declaration

Nil.

Strategic Implications

Physical Infrastructure

Develop an integrated transport system including safety aspects.

Legislation Implications

General assessment of relevant legislation (eg Local Government Act) has not revealed any restrictions.

Council Policy/Local Law Implications

General assessment has not revealed any applicable Policies/Local Laws.

Budget/Financial Implications

Works included in 2005/2006 Budget.

Consultation

Technical Services Committee (Site Inspection 2005), Main Roads Western Australia, Armadale Redevelopment Authority and Perth Transport Authority.

BACKGROUND

As the building development of Lot 57 is imminent within the next few months, it is essential that Council continues the construction of Commerce Avenue as originally approved in February 2004, and that the following criterions be approved:

- a) minimal tree removal in the area between the main bus entrance and the new roundabout on the intersection of Hobbs Drive, Commerce Avenue and Church Avenue on the western side of Commerce Avenue;
- b) the design layout of the proposed Commerce Avenue boulevard cross section for the above proposal (Drawing 03-97).

COMMENT

The detailed design for this section (Drawings 05-46/0 and 05-46/1) clearly indicates the required design width, as well as shows all minor vegetation and trees in decline, or in the senescence phase, that need removing.

The remaining section on the western side of Commerce Avenue, between Fourth Road and the main bus entrance, will be constructed following the above section, with retaining walls constructed as required to conserve the majority of the existing trees. This section will be designed and presented to Council for approval in approximately 5 – 6 weeks.

A request, by the Perth Transport Authority (PTA) – Railway Section, to ensure that no vehicle will be trapped between the railway and an overcrowded or blocked roundabout has been overcome by installing a left turn slip lane (direct turning lane) from Church Avenue into Commerce Avenue.

Footpath construction, installation of new street lighting and other necessary roadworks will continue on the eastern side of Commerce Avenue between the new roundabout (Hobbs Drive, Commerce Avenue and Church Avenue) and the current termination point of construction at Fourth Road.

CONCLUSION

Council note the continuation of the construction of Commerce Avenue as discussed during the April 2005 site inspection and in line with the above comments and as shown on Drawings 03-97, 05-46/0 and 05-46/1 (including the proposed roundabout).

RECOMMEND

That Council note the continuation of construction of Commerce Avenue, as shown on Drawings 03-97, 05-46/0 and 05-46/1, including the roundabout at the intersection of Hobbs Drive, Commerce Avenue and Church Avenue but not extend the road works north further than the main bus access point on the western side of Commerce Avenue.

MOVED Cr Knezevich
MOTION CARRIED (6/0)

COUNCILLORS' ITEMS

Cr Knezevich

1. Footpaths in New Developments

Cr Knezevich requested that officers investigate the inclusion of footpaths in new subdivisions, including infill development, to ensure that the needs of the community are adequately met.

T89/07/05 RECOMMEND

That officers investigate the inclusion of footpaths in new subdivisions, including infill development, to ensure that the needs of the community are adequately met.

MOVED Cr Knezevich
MOTION CARRIED (6/0)

MEETING CLOSED 8.45PM.