CITY OF ARMADALE

AGENDA

OF CITY AUDIT COMMITTEE TO BE HELD IN THE FUNCTION ROOM, ADMINISTRATION CENTRE, 7 ORCHARD AVENUE, ARMADALE ON THURSDAY, 15 JUNE 2023 AT 7.00PM.

A meal will be served at 6:15 p.m.	
PRESENT:	
APOLOGIES:	Cr G Nixon (Leave of Absence)
OBSERVERS:	
IN ATTENDANCE:	
PUBLIC QUESTION TIM	E

Note:

The Audit Committee is a formally appointed committee of council responsible to that body and does not have any power or duty from the Council. As the matters discussed may be of a sensitive and confidential nature which, if disclosed could reasonably be expected to impair the effectiveness of the audit process, the Audit Committee meetings are closed to the public. – Council resolution CS53/10/2020 refers.

"For details of Councillor Membership on this Committee, please refer to the City's website — www.armadale.wa.gov.au/mayor-councillors-and-wards."

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

RECOMMEND

Minutes of the City Audit Committee Meeting held on 16 March 2023 be confirmed.

CONTENTS

CITY AUDIT COMMITTEE

15 JUNE 2023

1.	MISCELLANEOUS				
	1.1	EXTERNAL AUDIT PLAN 2022/23	2		
	1.2	INTEGRITY FRAMEWORK REVIEW 2023/24	5		
2.	COUNCILLORS' ITEMS				
	NIL.		9		
a					
SUM	MARY	OF ATTACHMENTS	.10		

1.1 - EXTERNAL AUDIT PLAN 2022/23

WARD : ALL

FILE No. : M/326/23

DATE : 6 June 2023

REF : MH

RESPONSIBLE : Executive Director MANAGER : Corporate Services

In Brief:

- The Office of the Auditor General and KPMG will attend the meeting to present and take questions regarding the External Audit Plan for 2022/23.
- Recommend that Council endorse the External Audit Plan for 2022/23.

Tabled Items

Nil.

Decision Type

☐ **Legislative** The decision relates to general local government legislative

functions such as adopting/changing local laws, town planning

schemes, rates exemptions, City policies and delegations etc.

Executive The decision relates to the direction setting and oversight role of

Council.

Quasi-judicial The decision directly affects a person's rights or interests and

requires Councillors at the time of making the decision to adhere to

the principles of natural justice.

Officer Interest Declaration

Nil.

Strategic Implications

4.3 Financial Sustainability (Leadership)

Legal Implications

- Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996
- Australian Accounting Standards

Council Policy/Local Law Implications

Nil.

Budget/Financial Implications

Nil - the costs of the External Audit for 2022/23 are allowed for in the Annual Budget

Consultation

KPMG/OAG

BACKGROUND

The external audit process and sign off on the City's accounts for 2021/22 concluded in March 2023. Annually, the external auditors provide an audit plan and strategy document to the City as the commencement of the audit process. The plan intends to provide the City with the audit focus areas, the procedures to be employed over those areas, deliverables and timelines.

DETAILS OF PROPOSAL

The Audit Plan has identified 8 key focus areas for the audit. A number of them are the same as every year however this year there will be a focus on the Valuation of Infrastructure Assets due to the revaluation that is required to occur during this financial year. The 8 key focus areas are:

- Existence and Valuation of Infrastructure Assets
- Existence and Valuation of Fixed Assets
- Revenue
- Landfill Site Rehabilitation Asset and Liability
- Contracts and Procurement
- Personnel Costs and Related Liabilities
- Cash, Cash Equivalents and Term Deposits
- IT General Controls and Systems

The interim audit will commence in early July with the year end audit procedures commencing early October.

Apart from the City's annual financial statements, the audit program includes the expenditure on Roads to Recovery, Local Roads and Community Infrastructure funding, Deferred Pensioners Statement and the Statements of Income and Expenditure for Anstey Keane and North Forrestdale Development Contribution Plans.

The timeline of the upcoming audit targets an OAG sign off by the end of November 2023. In order to achieve this timeline it requires regular discussions between the KPMG Engagement Team, the OAG and City Officers.

CONCLUSION

The objective of the annual External Audit Plan is to provide the City with the key audit focus areas and the audit risk assessment to be employed during the audit. The procedures performed during the audit will enable KPMG/OAG to assess whether the financial statements for the City present fairly the City's financial position as at 30 June 2023

RECOMMEND

That Council endorses the External Audit Plan 2022/23.

ATTACHMENTS

1. City of Armadale Audit Plan June 2023 - This matter is considered to be confidential under Section 5.23(2) (c) of the Local Government Act, as the matter relates to a contract entered into or which may be entered into by the City of Armadale

1.2 - INTEGRITY FRAMEWORK REVIEW 2023/24

WARD : ALL

FILE No. : M/285/23

DATE : 19 May 2023

REF : DB/BG

RESPONSIBLE : Executive Director MANAGER Corporate Services

In Brief:

- Council endorsed the City's Integrity Framework in 2022.
- In accordance with the Framework a review of the City's integrity benchmarks is to be completed annually.
- Recommend that Council note the Integrity Framework Review.

Tabled Items

Nil.

Decision Type

☐ **Legislative** The decision relates to general local government legislative

functions such as adopting/changing local laws, town planning

schemes, rates exemptions, City policies and delegations etc.

Executive The decision relates to the direction setting and oversight role of

Council.

□ Quasi-judicial The decision directly affects a person's rights or interests and

requires Councillors at the time of making the decision to adhere to

the principles of natural justice.

Officer Interest Declaration

Nil.

Strategic Implications

- 4.1.3 Develop organisational frameworks to achieve consistency, transparency and clarity of decision making processes.
 - 4.1.5 Establish comprehensive governance policies and processes.
- CBP 4.1.5.5 Implement the Public Sector Commission's Integrity Framework.

Legal Implications

Nil.

Council Policy/Local Law Implications

Policy ADM 23 – Misconduct, Fraud and Corruption is relevant to the Integrity Framework and its continued development.

Budget/Financial Implications

Nil. It is anticipated ongoing development of the Integrity Framework will not require additional resources.

Consultation

- Public Sector Commission
- ELT.

BACKGROUND

On 27 June 2022, Council adopted the City's Integrity Framework. The development of the Integrity Framework was following the Public Sector Commission (PSC) releasing an Integrity Strategy for WA Public Authorities. In 2021 the PSC released a range of resources for public authorities to assist in the development of individual agency Integrity Frameworks.

DETAILS OF PROPOSAL

When endorsing the Integrity Framework, Council resolved to undertake a review in 12months to measure the City's implementation. A desktop assessment of how the City compares using the Public Sector Commission's self-assessment tool. The desktop assessment demonstrates that, whilst the City is making progress in the development of suitable internal controls to manage potential integrity issues, there is still work to be done to fully realise the maturity model developed by the PSC.

COMMENT

Since the adoption of the Integrity Framework in 2022, a number of improvements have been identified in respect of the City's management of integrity issues generally, as well as core focus areas that are noted in the self-assessment.

Council will recall at its meeting on 24 April 2023, it was identified that there was an opportunity to improve the City's Code of Conduct for Elected Members and Candidates, and the Complaints Handling Policy and directed a review of the Code of Conduct to occur. This is a core focus area of the Integrity Strategy, and the review of the Code of Conduct will dovetail with its overall objectives.

Similarly, the City's Code of Conduct for Employees and Volunteers is due for review, as this has not been done since its adoption in early 2022, noting that some deficiencies have been identified and planned to be rectified.

The Public Sector Commission has contacted local government, including the City, to provide assistance to local government in the further development of integrity measures. Officers intend to take up the offer from the Public Sector Commission at the appropriate time as it will be beneficial to obtain better practice advice to ensure the development of better systems as well as provide an opportunity to inform the Public Sector Commission on some of the issues impacting the sector and how this translates to the day-to-day business of local governments.

The adopted Integrity Framework notes that, for it to be successful, the following corporate objectives should be embedded within our normal business processes:

- 1. Integrity matters are incorporated into all aspects of the business as a standing item.
- 2. Integrity risks are incorporated into the City's Risk Register and are constantly monitored and reviewed.
- 3. Integrity risks are presented to the Audit and Risk Committee.
- 4. Integrity checks are conducted as a normal recruitment process.
- 5. Regular networking opportunities with other local governments and public organisations on integrity matters.
- 6. The City continues the use of formal notification processes such as newsletters, emails and meetings.
- 7. Integrity reporting processes are advertised and encouraged.
- 8. The roles and responsibilities of the leadership team is to include a focus on integrity management.
- 9. The City is committed to learn from internal and external reports on integrity management.

The review has found that the City is in a developing stage of achieving its integrity strategy and further progress is required in order for the above stated goals to become embedded in the City's organisational culture.

During the last 12 months the City has made significant progress with the review of delegations related to powers contained under the *Local Government Act*, *Cat Act* and *Dog Act* to ensure compliance with the relevant updated legislation and to vary delegations where necessary to aid comprehension and ensure that the relevant officers are able to more easily understand the basis and scope of the authority delegated to them.

During the 22/23 financial year the City has tested the processes and systems in place during the application of the complaints handling policy. These processes have highlighted the resilience of the processes in place but have also identified areas where the City could further refine practices.

8

The review together with other audits identify that the City has opportunity to be more proactive with regards to issues of statutory compliance. In addition, being more proactive in making integrity a key focus for all stakeholders in the City including employees and external partners is also an opportunity. Leadership from Council and senior executives at the City is crucial in ensuring that educating staff and other stakeholders of the City's expected standards and that benchmarking is undertaken to show progress made in this space.

The review corroborated the findings of the Regulation 17 report by Moore Australia which showed that despite progress made, the City needed to develop a fraud and corruption risk assessment and control plan, an online compliance calendar and better train staff in relation to Public Interest Disclosure procedures and the City's approach to managing misconduct, fraud and corruption generally.

It is worth noting that the Integrity Framework Maturity Self Assessment Tool is developed by the Public Service Commission for the Western Australian public service as a whole and some recommendations are unsuitable or inappropriate for local government generally and the City specifically.

OPTIONS

Council can choose not to accept the findings made with respect to the Integrity Framework Maturity Self Assessment Tool, however the results of the self assessment tool are intended for use as guidance in the Council's endeavours to improve governance within the City.

CONCLUSION

The first review of the City's integrity framework represents the beginning of the City's ongoing review of the maturity of its integrity procedures and progress made since the establishment of the integrity framework. The review demonstrates that the City has made progress in areas in which attention and resources have been focused, most notably the comprehensive review of delegations undertaken this year. Whilst the City has demonstrated compliance with statutory requirements, the review demonstrates that to excel at demonstrating integrity throughout the City staff need to be further engaged and empowered to be aware of the importance of integrity and ethical considerations within the City.

RECOMMEND

That Council note the completed Integrity Framework Maturity Self Assessment Tool.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Integrity Framework Maturity Self Assessment Tool - Armadale 2023

COUNCILLORS' ITEMS		
Nil.		
	MEETING DECLARED CLOSED AT	

CITY AUDIT COMMITTEE SUMMARY OF ATTACHMENTS 15 JUNE 2023				
ATT NO.	SUBJECT	PAGE		
1.2 INTEGRITY FRAMEWORK REVIEW 2023/24				
1.2.1	Integrity Framework Maturity Self Assessment Tool - Armadale 2023	11		



Integrity Framework Maturity Self Assessment Tool

Helping WA public authorities assess and improve their approach to integrity

Element 1: Clear expectations

The authority head clearly describes and communicates their integrity expectations

Maturity levels and their indicators: Each maturity level has 4 indicators that provide an overarching description of what the approach to integrity looks like at each level. Each level of maturity builds on the previous.

Emerging Developing Embedded Excelling Authorities at this maturity level have an unclear Authorities at this maturity level are documenting Authorities at this maturity level have a clear Authorities at this maturity level have an approach to integrity, meaning it is partially or their approach to integrity and it is mostly approach to integrity, meaning it is fully approach to integrity that is fully integrated into all not documented and not fully compliant. documented and compliant. decision making and planning. compliant. Integrity is not defined or well understood by What integrity means is becoming clearer to staff Integrity is well communicated by leaders, Integrity is modelled and reinforced by leaders staff. as the tone from the top is being communicated. understood by staff and integrated into business and practiced by staff who understand their Integrity actions and initiatives tend to be Integrity actions and initiatives are being planned unplanned, inconsistent and reactive. for and coordinated but not yet integrated. Integrity actions and initiatives are planned, fit-• Integrity actions and initiatives are flexible for-purpose, implemented and continuously enough to meet integrity challenges and respond Accounting for integrity only relates to meeting Accounting for integrity is moving beyond to new and emerging risks. compliance obligations. compliance obligations and more towards Accounting for integrity is based on Accounting for integrity is based on improvement initiatives. improvements being made from periodic improvements being made from ongoing assessments and supported by leadership assessment. Improvements are prioritised and implementation is monitored as part of a commitment. continuous improvement approach. Characteristics ☐ Expectations, if documented, are only in the code ☐ Expectations are in the code of conduct, being ☐ The integrity framework, code of conduct, ☐ New policies and procedures are written of conduct. consistently to reflect the authority head's documented in integrity policies and procedures, integrity policies and procedures, and most business processes reflect the authority head's and included in job descriptions for some expectations. ☐ The authority head rarely communicates their positions of trust when they are updated. expectations. ☐ The leadership group demonstrates the "tone from expectations. ☐ The authority head occasionally reinforces their the top". It is visible and well known inside and ☐ Line managers check staff understanding of expectations (e.g. face to face, staff expectations and there is clear "tone from the outside the authority. expectations only after an integrity breach. communications) ☐ Staff model and support the "tone from the top" □ Line managers explain expectations at induction. ☐ Line managers consistently model and reinforce which is assessed through staff performance communicated to external stakeholders (e.g. Some reinforce them during employment (e.g. the "tone from the top". This is demonstrated in processes. those who do business with the authority or use part by staff understanding expectations and through staff performance processes). its services). ☐ External stakeholders who do not meet being able to explain what these are when asked. ☐ Specific expectations for external stakeholders communicated expectations are held to account ☐ Expectations are communicated to external are being developed. (e.g. through appropriate legislative or contractual stakeholders (e.g. through a statement of mechanisms). business ethics).

Comments

The City has a significant framework in setting out what the City's integrity expectations are for its Councillors and employees and these documents and processes reflect the expectations of the CEO, Executive and Councillors. The SHARP branding that is prominent throughout City buildings reinforces the principles that the City employees are expected to act under. Further development of the City's expectations would be possible through the preparation of a statement of business ethics and appropriate contractual mechanisms to hold suppliers and contractors to account.

Element 2: Roles and responsibilities

Roles and responsibilities are assigned to ensure a coordinated approach to managing integrity.

Maturity levels and their indicators: Each maturity level has 4 indicators that provide an overarching description of what the approach to integrity looks like at each level. Each level of maturity builds on the previous.

Emerging **Developing Embedded Excelling** Authorities at this maturity level have an unclear Authorities at this maturity level are documenting Authorities at this maturity level have a clear Authorities at this maturity level have an approach to integrity, meaning it is partially or their approach to integrity and it is mostly approach to integrity, meaning it is fully approach to integrity that is fully integrated into all not documented and not fully compliant. compliant. documented and compliant. decision making and planning. Integrity is not defined or well understood by What integrity means is becoming clearer to staff Integrity is well communicated by leaders, • Integrity is modelled and reinforced by leaders and practiced by staff who understand their as the tone from the top is being communicated. understood by staff and integrated into business obligations. Integrity actions and initiatives are being planned Integrity actions and initiatives tend to be unplanned, inconsistent and reactive. for and coordinated but not yet integrated. Integrity actions and initiatives are planned, fit-Integrity actions and initiatives are flexible for-purpose, implemented and continuously enough to meet integrity challenges and respond Accounting for integrity only relates to meeting Accounting for integrity is moving beyond to new and emerging risks. compliance obligations. compliance obligations and more towards improvement initiatives. Accounting for integrity is based on Accounting for integrity is based on improvements being made from periodic improvements being made from ongoing assessment. Improvements are prioritised and assessments and supported by leadership commitment. implementation is monitored as part of a continuous improvement approach. **Characteristics** ☐ Some roles and responsibilities are assigned. ☐ Roles and responsibilities – including the ☒ Roles and responsibilities are being assigned as ☐ Leaders and staff with key assigned roles and These are documented to meet compliance the integrity framework is developed (e.g. to authority head's accountability for integrity - are responsibilities in the integrity framework regularly obligations (e.g. role of the audit committee). positions, teams, groups and committees) and in documented in the integrity framework. discuss challenges and identify opportunities to job descriptions when they are updated. improve the framework. These improvements feed ☐ Some delegations are documented; these mainly ☐ Relevant roles and responsibilities (e.g. between into self-analysis and review of the framework. ☐ Delegations are being considered across relate to finance and human resources. the governing board chair or mayor/shire $\hfill\Box$ The delegations schedule is monitored and functions and activities and being documented in president, chancellor and authority head and ☐ Information and data requests from external an accessible schedule. staff) are clear and documented in the integrity updated in real time. integrity bodies are responded to in an ad hoc framework. ⊠ Responsibility has been assigned to a position or ☐ A dedicated position, team or committee is tasked team to coordinate information and data requests □ Delegations for all legislative and high risk with engaging with external bodies, promoting ☐ Staff think integrity is someone else's and interactions with external integrity bodies. functions are covered (e.g. regulation, approvals, integrity, and helping to prevent misconduct and responsibility. Individual and shared responsibility human resources, finance). corruption, and providing specialist advice to the is not well understood. Staff are becoming aware that integrity is leadership group on trends and improvement everyone's responsibility. This is being ☐ Requests from external integrity bodies are communicated in the integrity framework, code of planned for and scheduled so they can be conduct, integrity policies and procedures. responded to in a timely and fulsome way. ☐ Staff are provided with a formal avenue to suggest changes to the integrity framework. \square Staff are aware that integrity is everyone's responsibility and can explain what this means when asked.

Comments

2

To achieve best practice, the City would need to update delegations in real time and establish a team dedicated to integrity and misconduct prevention. The Public Sector Commission framework covers both local and state government organisations, it may be that some recommendations are more amenable to large state government organisations then local government with more limited resources. Furthermore the large number of delegations held within the City make a "real time" register impractical as compared to a state government body with a smaller number of delegations for key processes.

Element 3: Legislation and regulations

Legislation, regulations and external policy obligations are identified and accounted for.

Maturity levels and their indicators: Each maturity level has 4 indicators that provide an overarching description of what the approach to integrity looks like at each level. Each level of maturity builds on the previous.

Emerging **Developing Embedded Excelling** Authorities at this maturity level have an unclear Authorities at this maturity level are documenting Authorities at this maturity level have a clear Authorities at this maturity level have an approach to integrity, meaning it is partially or their approach to integrity and it is mostly approach to integrity, meaning it is fully approach to integrity that is fully integrated into all not documented and not fully compliant. compliant. documented and compliant. decision making and planning. Integrity is not defined or well understood by What integrity means is becoming clearer to staff Integrity is well communicated by leaders, • Integrity is modelled and reinforced by leaders as the tone from the top is being communicated. understood by staff and integrated into business and practiced by staff who understand their obligations. Integrity actions and initiatives are being planned Integrity actions and initiatives tend to be unplanned, inconsistent and reactive. for and coordinated but not yet integrated. Integrity actions and initiatives are planned, fit-Integrity actions and initiatives are flexible for-purpose, implemented and continuously enough to meet integrity challenges and respond Accounting for integrity only relates to meeting Accounting for integrity is moving beyond to new and emerging risks. compliance obligations. compliance obligations and more towards improvement initiatives. Accounting for integrity is based on Accounting for integrity is based on improvements being made from periodic improvements being made from ongoing assessment. Improvements are prioritised and assessments and supported by leadership commitment. implementation is monitored as part of a continuous improvement approach. **Characteristics** □ Legislative, regulatory and external policy ☐ Legislative, regulatory and external policy ☐ All obligations are documented and accounted for ☐ All obligations are monitored to track changes to obligations are being identified. An accountability obligations (e.g. those required by enabling (e.g. reflected in internal controls, roles and legislation, regulations and external policy. legislation and those set by central bodies) are map or similar is being completed. responsibilities, compliance calendars). Changes are communicated and updates made not fully identified. (e.g. to internal controls). ☐ Any compliance gaps identified are being ☐ Compliance gaps are addressed as identified. □ Compliance gaps, if any, are mostly unknown. ☐ Proactive monitoring identifies compliance gaps. addressed. ☐ Staff understand the power, functions and ☐ Staff understanding of their powers, functions Staff are becoming aware of the power, functions obligations relevant to their role (e.g. delegations) ☐ Passive and active monitoring is undertaken to and obligations – and how they apply these in and obligations relevant to their role (e.g. acting and can explain how these apply in practice. check if staff are carrying out powers, functions practice - relies on their knowledge and in line with operating procedures). Line managers and obligations as expected (e.g. discretionary ☐ Line managers support their staff to comply with capability. are taking a more active role in this. powers are appropriately exercised and staff act in obligations and oversight compliance. They line with delegations). demonstrate they have taken action on noncompliance (e.g. through staff performance and discipline processes).

Comments

3

A recent Audit conducted in accordance with Regulation 17 of the *Local Government (Audit)* Regulations 2006 (the Regulation 17 Report) concluded that the City's compliance management systems are weak, with no Framework in place. This was reported to the Audot Committee in April 2023. A program of Works for improvement is being developed and is subject to budget deliberations by the Council. Notwithstanding, the City has made some progress in compliance management with legislation and regulations. A full review of all Local Government Act, Cat Act and Dog Act delegations and obligations under the *Emergency Management Act 2005* has ensured that all the City's authorising environment (delegations and authorisation) are accounted for and any compliance gaps have been identified. The delegation review process included input from the department and team leaders.

Integrity Framework Maturity Self Assessment Tool PSC2070422/01

Element 4: Risk analysis and planning for integrity

Integrity risks are identified and analysed, and plans are made to manage them.

Maturity levels and their indicators: Each maturity level has 4 indicators that provide an overarching description of what the approach to integrity looks like at each level. Each level of maturity builds on the previous.

Emerging **Developing Embedded Excelling** Authorities at this maturity level have an unclear Authorities at this maturity level are documenting Authorities at this maturity level have a clear Authorities at this maturity level have an approach to integrity, meaning it is partially or their approach to integrity and it is mostly approach to integrity, meaning it is fully approach to integrity that is fully integrated into all not documented and not fully compliant. compliant. documented and compliant. decision making and planning. Integrity is not defined or well understood by What integrity means is becoming clearer to staff Integrity is well communicated by leaders, • Integrity is modelled and reinforced by leaders as the tone from the top is being communicated. understood by staff and integrated into business and practiced by staff who understand their obligations. Integrity actions and initiatives are being planned Integrity actions and initiatives tend to be unplanned, inconsistent and reactive. for and coordinated but not yet integrated. Integrity actions and initiatives are planned, fit-Integrity actions and initiatives are flexible for-purpose, implemented and continuously enough to meet integrity challenges and respond Accounting for integrity only relates to meeting Accounting for integrity is moving beyond to new and emerging risks. compliance obligations. compliance obligations and more towards improvement initiatives. Accounting for integrity is based on Accounting for integrity is based on improvements being made from periodic improvements being made from ongoing assessment. Improvements are prioritised and assessments and supported by leadership commitment. implementation is monitored as part of a continuous improvement approach. **Characteristics** ☐ Integrity risks are narrowly defined. Little ☑ Integrity risks, including those relating to high risk ☐ Integrity risks from internal and external sources ☐ Assessment of integrity risk considers behavioural consideration is given to functions and activities functions, activities and any outsourced programs have been identified. Risk owners are assigned factors (e.g. what makes individuals more that give rise to integrity risks. The priority is and activities, are being identified, adequately for all identified risks in risk registers. Integrity vulnerable to engaging in misconduct and risks are reflected in broader planning processes material financial risk. defined, analysed and documented in risk corruption from internal and external sources). registers. (e.g. strategic, operational, project and business ☐ There is limited agreement about the value of, \square Advanced tools are used to monitor and report on continuity). ⊠ The authority head communicates the value of and approach to, managing integrity risks among integrity risks (e.g. automated dashboards and ☐ Integrity risks are regularly monitored, reviewed, the leadership group. managing integrity risks to the leadership group. data analytics). They help inform decisions to A shared understanding of risk management is updated and reported on, and take account of improve risk management. ☐ Managing integrity risks associated with functions changes impacting the risk profile. being developed. and activities relies on the judgement of line ☐ The leadership group takes a positive and managers. There are limited methodologies, tools □ Risk owners are being identified and assigned for ☐ The authority head regularly reinforces the value proactive approach to managing all risks including and guidance to assist them, other than high risk functions and activities. They are of managing integrity risks (e.g. face to face, in shared risk (e.g. inter-authority or multiprocesses to manage financial risks. provided with methodologies, tools and guidance staff communications). jurisdictional projects). (e.g. risk management policies and procedures) ☐ Some but not all staff are able to explain the ☒ Risks owners are provided with methodologies, ☐ Risk owners champion risk management. to help analyse and manage risks. integrity risks associated with their work or the tools and guidance that take into account better ☐ Staff consistently identify, analyse and manage importance of managing them. ☐ Staff are becoming familiar with the integrity risks practice outlined in Australian Standards 31000integrity risks associated with their work. Where associated with their work and what they need to 2018: Risk Management Guidelines and 8001new and emerging risks are identified, they are do to manage them (e.g. comply with policies and 2021: Fraud and Corruption Control. raised via established pathways. procedures). Staff understand the integrity risks associated with their work and identified shared risks, and can explain how they manage these in practice.

Comments

The City's internal and external auditing systems identifies high risk activities which are documented in risk registers with the risk rating identified and the control effectiveness analysed. The methodologies are in line with Australian Standards 31000. The Regulation 17 Report identified improvement opportunities for monitoring, reviewing, updated and reporting on risks and providing further training and development opportunities.

City Audit Committee Meeting
COMMITTEE - 15 June 2023

Element 5: Internal controls, audit and governance

Integrity risks are managed through sound internal controls, and audit is used to evaluate the adequacy and effectiveness of controls.

Maturity levels and their indicators: Each maturity level has 4 indicators that provide an overarching description of what the approach to integrity looks like at each level. Each level of maturity builds on the previous.

Emerging **Developing Embedded Excelling** Authorities at this maturity level have an unclear Authorities at this maturity level are documenting Authorities at this maturity level have a clear Authorities at this maturity level have an approach to integrity, meaning it is partially or their approach to integrity and it is mostly approach to integrity, meaning it is fully approach to integrity that is fully integrated into all not documented and not fully compliant. compliant. documented and compliant. decision making and planning. Integrity is not defined or well understood by What integrity means is becoming clearer to staff Integrity is well communicated by leaders, • Integrity is modelled and reinforced by leaders and practiced by staff who understand their as the tone from the top is being communicated. understood by staff and integrated into business obligations. Integrity actions and initiatives are being planned Integrity actions and initiatives tend to be unplanned, inconsistent and reactive. for and coordinated but not yet integrated. Integrity actions and initiatives are planned, fit-Integrity actions and initiatives are flexible for-purpose, implemented and continuously enough to meet integrity challenges and respond Accounting for integrity only relates to meeting Accounting for integrity is moving beyond to new and emerging risks. compliance obligations. compliance obligations and more towards improvement initiatives. Accounting for integrity is based on Accounting for integrity is based on improvements being made from periodic improvements being made from ongoing assessment. Improvements are prioritised and assessments and supported by leadership implementation is monitored as part of a commitment. continuous improvement approach. **Characteristics** ☐ Limited or basic internal controls (e.g. policies ☑ Internal controls (e.g. core and complementary) ☐ Internal controls (e.g. preventative, detective and ☐ Internal controls are monitored, reviewed and procedures) are directed towards managing integrity policies and procedures) are being corrective) are proportionate to specific integrity (including pressure tested) and improved financial risks. developed and implemented to manage identified continuously. Internal controls keep pace with integrity risks. lessons learnt from integrity breaches, changing ☐ Accuracy and currency of policies and ☐ A position or team manages the policy register to business processes, risks and other operating ☑ A position or team has been assigned to develop procedures relies on individuals updating them. ensure policy owners are undertaking scheduled conditions and reduce vulnerabilities and There is no assigned responsibility. a policy register to record what policies and reviews. unintended consequences. procedures exist, who owns them and their ☐ Audit scopes and programs focus on the ☐ Different types of audits are used to explore ☐ Risk owners raise, and internal audit records, adequacy of financial controls rather than broader integrity risks (e.g. random audits, focus area, changes to internal controls and treatment plans integrity issues (e.g. use of confidential forensic, compliance and quality audits). in risk registers. Advanced tools automatically information). controls are being included in the audit scopes update those who need to know of changes. and programs. ☐ The relationships between those with the authority. Line managers readily accept and ☐ Evaluation of the adequacy and effectiveness of responsibility for audit are undefined. ⊠ The relationship between the internal audit participate in audits. Recommendations for internal controls to manage integrity risks is function, audit committee and accountable improvement from internal and external audits are ☐ Applying internal controls associated with conducted in targeted integrity audits and integrity authority and any external audit body is being assigned to ensure they are implemented. functions and activities relies on the line is included as part of most audit scopes. defined and good practices are being developed ☐ Line managers understand their supervision and managers explaining to staff why internal controls (e.g. communication of reports and ☐ A combined assurance model (e.g. with activities exist and their importance. There is no standard monitoring role is an internal control. Staff recommendations from external integrity bodies). that are coordinated and planned) is in place to approach; staff knowledge varies. understand the risks associated with their work ensure integrity is practiced, managed and and apply internal controls to manage these. ☐ Staff are unaware of the need to report accounted for. communicate the importance of applying internal ☐ Staff know how to report internal control unmanaged risks and internal control controls consistently to manage integrity risks. weaknesses. weaknesses via established pathways. Staff rely on managers informing them of how to

Comments

Internal controls have been developed to manage identified integrity risks. Council has a permanent Audit Committee and an external specialist Audit Committee Member. The City has engaged an external firm to guide the internal audit process and good practices exist between City officials and the Audit Committee. The City has an updated register for policies and management practices. As a further step to enhance internal controls, the City needs to develop an online compliance calendar.

report internal control weaknesses.

Element 6: Fraud and corruption detection systems

Systems and activities are in place to detect events different to those considered standard, normal or expected.

Maturity levels and their indicators: Each maturity level has 4 indicators that provide an overarching description of what the approach to integrity looks like at each level. Each level of maturity builds on the previous.

ATTACHMENT 1.2.1

Emerging **Developing Embedded Excelling** Authorities at this maturity level have an unclear Authorities at this maturity level are documenting Authorities at this maturity level have a clear Authorities at this maturity level have an approach to integrity, meaning it is partially or their approach to integrity and it is mostly approach to integrity, meaning it is fully approach to integrity that is fully integrated into all not documented and not fully compliant. compliant. documented and compliant. decision making and planning. Integrity is not defined or well understood by What integrity means is becoming clearer to staff Integrity is well communicated by leaders, • Integrity is modelled and reinforced by leaders and practiced by staff who understand their as the tone from the top is being communicated. understood by staff and integrated into business obligations. Integrity actions and initiatives are being planned Integrity actions and initiatives tend to be unplanned, inconsistent and reactive. for and coordinated but not yet integrated. Integrity actions and initiatives are planned, fit-Integrity actions and initiatives are flexible for-purpose, implemented and continuously enough to meet integrity challenges and respond Accounting for integrity only relates to meeting Accounting for integrity is moving beyond to new and emerging risks. compliance obligations. compliance obligations and more towards improvement initiatives. Accounting for integrity is based on Accounting for integrity is based on improvements being made from periodic improvements being made from ongoing assessment. Improvements are prioritised and assessments and supported by leadership commitment. implementation is monitored as part of a continuous improvement approach. **Characteristics** ☐ Basic detection systems and activities are in ☑ Planning is underway to develop a detection ☑ A detection strategy or plan is in place to help ☐ Detection systems and activities inform the place for internal threats (e.g. some financial strategy or plan; this is being supported by the control internal and external threats. It takes into internal audit scopes and program; insights show leadership group. The plan considers internal and activities) but relies heavily on the manual effort account better practice outlined in Australian areas for further examination. of individuals (e.g. manual checks, excel external threats (e.g. cyber security, third parties Standards 31000-2018: Risk Management ☐ Detection systems and activities support Guidelines and 8001-2021: Fraud and Corruption spreadsheets). seeking to exploit individual officers), information continuous improvement to strategic and and data holdings, people and capability Control including speaking up and staff and ☐ Detection systems and activities are directed operational planning and misconduct and requirements, tools for validation and reporting, contactor screening. towards managing internal threats. Some basic corruption prevention approaches. and governance arrangements including data $\hfill\square$ Data holdings to inform detection have been controls are in place to prevent external fraud and ☐ Internal and external data holdings, where they sharing and confidentiality. corruption threats (e.g. firewalls to prevent cybercleansed, are structured and can be analysed can be shared and are relevant, are leveraged to ☑ Changes are being made to how existing data is attacks). inform detection approaches. captured, providing more structure for easier ☐ Internal data holdings are unstructured and not ☐ Fit for purpose data tests are in place and ☐ Automated processes are in place to identify and repeatable, usually with consistent results that easily analysed. escalate red flags. Processes for prompt □ Data is mainly used for reporting rather than provide useful insights. These are supported by \square Beyond basic reporting, there is no or limited use escalation, investigation and resolution are in responding to identified errors and irregularities. procedures to respond to and address identified of data for detection purposes. place. errors and irregularities, and escalate issues for investigation as appropriate.

Comments

The City has developed and endorsed a Misconduct, Fraud and Corruption Policy and Management Practice, however no further actions has been undertaken (which is subject to budget funding). The Policy places the onus on all elected officials and members with respect to ethical and honest behaviour and requires the City to investigate any suspected malfeasance. The Management Practice defines corrupt conduct and sets out the process for the reporting and investigation of any suspected fraudulent conduct. The Management Practice sets out the four methods of fraud detection in the City: observation, the risk management system, an internal audit and the external audit. The Management Practice also sets out the roles and responsibilities of City officials with regards to fraud and corruption control and clearly denotes a role for all City employees in ensuring ethical business practices within the City. The City's Regulation 17 audit report identified that the City needs to develop a fraud and corruption risk assessment and control plan.

Integrity Framework Maturity Self Assessment Tool PSC2070422/01

Element 7: Values and standards

Values and standards (code of conduct) are in place and describe what acceptable workplace behaviour looks like in practice.

Maturity levels and their indicators: Each maturity level has 4 indicators that provide an overarching description of what the approach to integrity looks like at each level. Each level of maturity builds on the previous.

Developing Emerging **Embedded Excelling** Authorities at this maturity level have an unclear · Authorities at this maturity level are · Authorities at this maturity level have a clear Authorities at this maturity level have an approach to integrity, meaning it is fully approach to integrity, meaning it is partially or documenting their approach to integrity and it approach to integrity that is fully integrated into all not documented and not fully compliant. is mostly compliant. documented and compliant. decision making and planning. Integrity is not defined or well understood by • What integrity means is becoming clearer to • Integrity is well communicated by leaders, • Integrity is modelled and reinforced by leaders and practiced by staff who understand their staff as the tone from the top is being understood by staff and integrated into business communicated. obligations. Integrity actions and initiatives tend to be unplanned, inconsistent and reactive. Integrity actions and initiatives are being • Integrity actions and initiatives are planned, fit-for-Integrity actions and initiatives are flexible planned for and coordinated but not yet purpose, implemented and continuously refined. enough to meet integrity challenges and respond Accounting for integrity only relates to meeting to new and emerging risks. compliance obligations. Accounting for integrity is based on improvements Accounting for integrity is moving beyond being made from periodic assessments and Accounting for integrity is based on compliance obligations and more towards supported by leadership commitment. improvements being made from ongoing improvement initiatives. assessment. Improvements are prioritised and implementation is monitored as part of a continuous improvement approach. **Characteristics** ☐ Values have been discussed by the leadership ☐ Values and other direction setting statements ✓ Values and codes of conduct focus on the ☐ Values and codes of conduct are regularly team but have not progressed beyond this. (e.g. vision, mission and remit) are being behaviours expected to achieve objectives with promoted to all stakeholders (e.g. published on developed and are consistent. integrity. Values and standards are reflected in the internet, in recruitment information) and there ☐ A code of conduct is in place to meet compliance relevant documents and processes (e.g. policies, is a process for annual acknowledgment. obligations (e.g. legislative, external policy) but it ☐ A code of conduct exists but does not fully take strategic and operational plans, job advertisements \square The code of conduct has been developed taking is not widely promoted by the leadership group. account of relevant legislation, regulation and and descriptions, recruitment processes). policy (e.g. internal and external) obligations or into account the views of the authority's key ☐ Any discussions about the code of conduct relies ⊠ The code of conduct incorporates the views of key integrity risks specific to the operating context. external stakeholders. on individual line managers. internal stakeholders and accounts for relevant ☐ Values and the code of conduct are discussed at ☐ Monitoring of compliance with the code of obligations and identified risks. It provides guidance their role to promote the code of conduct, leadership meetings. Data around non-compliance conduct occurs ad hoc. to support ethical decision making. support its implementation and their role to is being used by this group to inform ☐ Staff have limited awareness of the code of monitor and support compliance with it. ☐ Leaders and line managers consistently promote improvements to internal controls. Discussions conduct. They are unsure where to find it, how it the code of conduct (e.g. during team meetings, and information feeds into self analysis and review Strategies to monitor compliance with the code applies to them and their obligations under it. 'integrity moments', standing item on the leadership processes to continuously improve the integrity of conduct are being planned for as integrity group agenda) to support its implementation. framework. policies and procedures are being developed. ☐ Compliance with the code of conduct is monitored ☐ Staff are confident holding each other to account (e.g. through staff performance processes, analysis for expectations set in the code of conduct (e.g. can explain its purpose and know where to find of discipline processes and complaints) and respectfully calling out behaviour that does not reasons for non-compliance addressed. align, reporting unethical behaviour). ☐ Staff know about the code of conduct, understand its importance and can describe how it guides their behaviour.

Comments

The City maintains a Code of Conduct for elected members as well as employees and volunteers. The respective Codes of Conduct provides a clear statement of the City's values for the manner in which elected members and employees are to conduct themselves. Leaders and line managers are well-versed in the implementation of the Code of Conduct and it applicability to City work practices. The Code of Conduct takes into account relevant legislation and integrity risks in the local government context.

Element 8: Leadership and management attitude

Leaders are aware of and understand their role to model behaviours consistent with expectations, values and standards; and to take action addressing behaviour that is inconsistent with these.

Maturity levels and their indicators: Each maturity level has 4 indicators that provide an overarching description of what the approach to integrity looks like at each level. Each level of maturity builds on the previous.

Emerging Developing Embedded Excelling • Authorities at this maturity level have an unclear • Authorities at this maturity level are · Authorities at this maturity level have a clear • Authorities at this maturity level have an approach to integrity, meaning it is partially or documenting their approach to integrity and it approach to integrity, meaning it is fully documented approach to integrity that is fully integrated into all not documented and not fully compliant. is mostly compliant. and compliant. decision making and planning. • Integrity is modelled and reinforced by leaders Integrity is not defined or well understood by • What integrity means is becoming clearer to Integrity is well communicated by leaders, staff. staff as the tone from the top is being understood by staff and integrated into business and practiced by staff who understand their communicated. Integrity actions and initiatives tend to be unplanned, inconsistent and reactive. Integrity actions and initiatives are being Integrity actions and initiatives are planned, fit-for-Integrity actions and initiatives are flexible planned for and coordinated but not yet purpose, implemented and continuously refined. enough to meet integrity challenges and respond Accounting for integrity only relates to meeting to new and emerging risks. integrated. compliance obligations. · Accounting for integrity is based on improvements Accounting for integrity is moving beyond being made from periodic assessments and Accounting for integrity is based on compliance obligations and more towards supported by leadership commitment. improvements being made from ongoing improvement initiatives. assessment. Improvements are prioritised and implementation is monitored as part of a continuous improvement approach. **Characteristics** ☑ The leadership group has a shared understanding of ☐ The leadership group's role to support integrity ☐ A statement is being developed ☐ The leadership group's cohesive approach to (e.g. to model, reinforce, promote, communicate its role to support integrity. The group consistently integrity is recognised externally. The authority (e.g. terms of reference, charter) that explains and enforce) is informal; it relies on individual's demonstrates and supports this through its actions. head and leadership group are often sought to the leadership group's role to support provide advice to their peers on integrity matters views of what their role is. integrity. ☐ Leaders and line managers have a shared as a result. \square In the absence of any formal approach, it is left to understanding and can explain how they shape ☐ Leaders and line managers have a good individual leaders and line managers to interpret culture, what integrity looks like, its importance, and what integrity looks like, its importance, and and model values and standards. their role to promote and reinforce it (e.g. taking understanding of their role to uphold the their role to promote, reinforce it and take action when behaviours are inconsistent with reputation of their authority and the broader sector action when behaviours are inconsistent with ☐ The role of leaders to support and demonstrate obligations). in which they work. integrity – and if this is reflected in recruitment obligations. practices and staff performance processes -☐ Integrity forms part of the recruitment and ☐ Performance processes assess both what leaders achieve and how they achieve it (e.g. projects relies on those undertaking those processes. performance processes for leadership roles. Leaders demonstrate integrity is being reflected in demonstrate how they support integrity through their delivered effectively manage internal and external recruitment and performance documents and ☐ There is little recognition that leadership roles are actions and decisions (e.g. in their performance risks). processes. positions of trust. Employment screening processes). processes (e.g. police clearances, verification of ☐ Development of leaders and line managers ☐ There is a growing recognition that leadership □ Leadership roles are identified positions of trust. qualifications) are rarely, if ever, undertaken. incorporates mentorships and coaching designed roles are positions of trust. Employment Employment screening occurs for all new leadership to grow their personal capability, insights and screening is being implemented for these ☐ Development of leaders and line managers skills to lead with integrity. roles. occurs as a result of individual development discussions with those who conduct the process. ☐ Development of leaders and line managers includes □ Development of leaders and line managers building their skills to support integrity and prevent includes building their skills to deal with misconduct and corruption (e.g. recognise red flags, integrity matters effectively (e.g. having address issues early and make proportionate difficult conversations about conduct). decisions when issues occur).

Comments

The City will be developing a new Leadership Program for roll out in FY24. This is informed and driven by the Organisational Culture program projects, currently in progress. Previously, the City's independent internal auditors noted the progress made by the City in progressing its maturity model. It was noted that development of the maturity model was slowed by the COVID-19 Pandemic. The City has a path forward to develop its maturity model and with respect to processes surrounding the Compliance Audit Return and Public Interest Disclosure procedures, and the Regulation 17 Report Program.

Element 9: Organisation culture

Integrity is part of organisation culture. It is actively managed to ensure integrity is sustained.

Maturity levels and their indicators: Each maturity level has 4 indicators that provide an overarching description of what the approach to integrity looks like at each level. Each level of maturity builds on the previous.

Emerging **Developing Embedded Excelling** Authorities at this maturity level have an unclear Authorities at this maturity level are documenting Authorities at this maturity level have a clear Authorities at this maturity level have an approach to integrity, meaning it is partially or their approach to integrity and it is mostly approach to integrity, meaning it is fully approach to integrity that is fully integrated into all not documented and not fully compliant. compliant. documented and compliant. decision making and planning. Integrity is not defined or well understood by What integrity means is becoming clearer to staff Integrity is well communicated by leaders, • Integrity is modelled and reinforced by leaders and practiced by staff who understand their as the tone from the top is being communicated. understood by staff and integrated into business obligations. Integrity actions and initiatives are being planned Integrity actions and initiatives tend to be unplanned, inconsistent and reactive. for and coordinated but not yet integrated. Integrity actions and initiatives are planned, fit-Integrity actions and initiatives are flexible for-purpose, implemented and continuously enough to meet integrity challenges and respond Accounting for integrity only relates to meeting Accounting for integrity is moving beyond to new and emerging risks. compliance obligations. compliance obligations and more towards improvement initiatives. Accounting for integrity is based on Accounting for integrity is based on improvements being made from periodic improvements being made from ongoing assessments and supported by leadership assessment. Improvements are prioritised and implementation is monitored as part of a commitment. continuous improvement approach. **Characteristics** ☐ There are few actions and initiatives (e.g. clear □ Actions and initiatives to build and sustain ☐ Actions and initiatives to build and sustain ☐ Data and information that might indicate issues expectations, values, communication about integrity are being developed. This includes integrity are in place. Evaluation activities are with integrity are identified, monitored and action taken (e.g. business units with high reports of integrity, integrity education) to build and sustain evaluation activities (e.g. staff surveys to test conducted regularly and improvements integrity. reporting confidence). implemented. integrity breaches are supported to make better decisions). ☐ There is little understanding about recruiting for ☐ Recruiting for integrity and the requirement for ⊠ Recruiting for integrity and staff employment ☐ There is a process in place to ensure identified integrity (e.g. values based recruitment). Staff staff employment screening is being documented screening occurs for all new staff. The type of employment screening (e.g. police clearances, and promoted to recruiting managers. screening is proportionate to the position and positions are rescreened periodically. previous disciplinary matters, verification of integrity risks. ⊠ Reporting pathways are being developed for staff ☐ Data and information on the use of reporting qualifications) is rarely, if ever, undertaken. and external stakeholders. These are clear and ☐ Reporting pathways are in place and well known pathways are analysed to inform continuous ☐ Reporting pathways exist to meet compliance concise, include external avenues and strong by staff. These provide for external stakeholders improvement (e.g. absence of reporting from obligations (e.g. public interest disclosure) but are statements about protection for those who speak to also report integrity matters and for anonymous certain teams or employment groups). not widely promoted and confidence in them is reporting. ☐ De-identified data from reporting is used to inform ☑ An integrity communications plan is being ☐ An integrity communications plan is in place and integrity communication messages. ☐ Integrity communications only occur in response developed. Key integrity messages are messages are sent to staff regularly (e.g. ☐ Staff can consistently describe 'how we do things to a significant integrity breach. communicated periodically (e.g. for International dedicated web/intranet site, campaigns on around here', referencing authority and sector Anti-Corruption Day). integrity topics run throughout the year). ☐ Some staff can describe 'how we do things wide expectations, values, standards, policies and ☐ Staff can describe 'how we do things around around here', but they are unable to link this to procedures. expectations or the code of conduct. here' as it relates to their immediate work here' from an authority wide perspective and can environment referencing the code of conduct, and link this to expectations, values, standards and policies and procedures relevant to their role. the need to follow policies and procedures.

Comments

9

The PSC recommends as best practice the extensive use of anonymised data to identify issues with integrity and inform communication messaging. The City to achieve best practice needs to develop its communication around integrity and other ethical issues. Induction will form part of this approach, along with the Leadership Program previously mentioned.

Integrity Framework Maturity Self Assessment Tool PSC2070422/01

Element 10: Integrity education and capacity

Integrity education helps build staff capacity to act with integrity.

Maturity levels and their indicators: Each maturity level has 4 indicators that provide an overarching description of what the approach to integrity looks like at each level. Each level of maturity builds on the previous.

Embedded Emerging **Developing Excelling** Authorities at this maturity level have an Authorities at this maturity level are documenting Authorities at this maturity level have a clear Authorities at this maturity level have an approach to integrity, meaning it is fully unclear approach to integrity, meaning it is their approach to integrity and it is mostly approach to integrity that is fully integrated into all partially or not documented and not fully compliant. documented and compliant. decision making and planning. compliant. • What integrity means is becoming clearer to staff Integrity is well communicated by leaders, • Integrity is modelled and reinforced by leaders Integrity is not defined or well understood by and practiced by staff who understand their as the tone from the top is being communicated. understood by staff and integrated into business obligations. Integrity actions and initiatives are being planned Integrity actions and initiatives tend to be for and coordinated but not yet integrated. Integrity actions and initiatives are planned, fit-for-Integrity actions and initiatives are flexible unplanned, inconsistent and reactive. purpose, implemented and continuously refined. enough to meet integrity challenges and respond · Accounting for integrity is moving beyond to new and emerging risks. Accounting for integrity only relates to meeting compliance obligations and more towards Accounting for integrity is based on compliance obligations. improvement initiatives. improvements being made from periodic Accounting for integrity is based on assessments and supported by leadership improvements being made from ongoing assessment. Improvements are prioritised and commitment. implementation is monitored as part of a continuous improvement approach. **Characteristics** ☐ Induction, if conducted, relies on the knowledge ☐ An induction program is being developed to ☐ Integrity education is, where relevant, also in of individual line managers. incorporate expectations, standards, policies and contemporary, accounts for lessons learned from place for external stakeholders (e.g. labour hire integrity breaches and reflects any changes to procedures and guide ethical decision making. staff, contractors and suppliers). ☐ Some integrity education occurs beyond operating conditions (e.g. new policies, changed induction to meet compliance obligations. ☐ Individuals are followed up (e.g. randomly and manage key integrity risks (e.g. conflicts of periodically) to determine if and how knowledge ☐ Leaders and line managers rarely follow up if ☐ An integrity education and training plan is in place interest, information management). The integrity gained during integrity education is being applied their staff have attended integrity education education and training plan includes what is and includes specific education on individual and in practice in the workplace. provided. provided, to whom and when, which high risk organisational factors (red flags) for those in high ☐ Leaders and line managers support practitioners ☐ Whether other actions and initiatives (e.g. staff positions need additional training, and how risk roles. Participation in and feedback from attending external learning opportunities. A performance processes) to educate and activities are evaluated (e.g. how participation is sessions are collected and analysed to inform process is in place to ensure this learning is reinforce integrity are undertaken relies on tracked). improvements. shared with others with roles and responsibilities individual line managers. ☐ Leaders and line managers support and champion under the integrity framework. ☐ Staff are unsure about who provides advice attending any integrity education provided, integrity education. They reinforce the importance ☐ Those who provide advice about integrity matters about integrity matters as it is not documented. encourage their staff to do the same and follow up of attending integrity education sessions. meet periodically to discuss advice being sought If provided by individual line managers, the with staff on mandatory education requirements. ☐ Staff performance processes and actions and and provided, helping ensure a consistent quality of advice relies on their knowledge. ☑ Additional actions and initiatives to educate and initiatives to raise integrity consciousness approach with policies and procedures and advice reinforce integrity (e.g. staff performance reinforce key integrity messages and support good from external integrity bodies. processes and raising integrity consciousness) are decision making. being developed or reviewed. ☐ It is well documented in the code of conduct, Staff know that line managers and certain policies and procedures who provides expert functional area leaders (e.g. finance, human advice on integrity matters. Leaders and line resources) provide advice about integrity matters. managers understand their role to provide general Quality still relies on an individual's knowledge. advice and how to escalate matters as required.

Comments

Under the Management Practice the City is to provide employees and stakeholders with training with regards to identification, prevention and detection of fraud and corrupt behaviour and the means to report it.

To achieve best practice, the City needs to develop an integrity education and training plan including education not only for employees but also external stakeholders including contractors and suppliers. The City will provide refresher training for staff in PID procedures and the City's approach to managing Misconduct, Fraud and Corruption.

Element 11: Response to integrity breaches

Integrity breaches are responded to in a timely and proportionate way to ensure integrity is sustained.

Maturity levels and their indicators: Each maturity level has 4 indicators that provide an overarching description of what the approach to integrity looks like at each level. Each level of maturity builds on the previous.

Emerging **Developing Embedded Excelling** Authorities at this maturity level have an unclear Authorities at this maturity level are documenting Authorities at this maturity level have a clear Authorities at this maturity level have an approach to integrity, meaning it is partially or their approach to integrity and it is mostly approach to integrity, meaning it is fully approach to integrity that is fully integrated into all not documented and not fully compliant. compliant. documented and compliant. decision making and planning. Integrity is not defined or well understood by What integrity means is becoming clearer to staff Integrity is well communicated by leaders, • Integrity is modelled and reinforced by leaders and practiced by staff who understand their as the tone from the top is being communicated. understood by staff and integrated into business obligations. Integrity actions and initiatives tend to be Integrity actions and initiatives are being planned unplanned, inconsistent and reactive. for and coordinated but not yet integrated. Integrity actions and initiatives are planned, fit- Integrity actions and initiatives are flexible for-purpose, implemented and continuously enough to meet integrity challenges and respond Accounting for integrity only relates to meeting Accounting for integrity is moving beyond to new and emerging risks. compliance obligations. compliance obligations and more towards improvement initiatives. Accounting for integrity is based on Accounting for integrity is based on improvements being made from periodic improvements being made from ongoing assessments and supported by leadership assessment. Improvements are prioritised and commitment. implementation is monitored as part of a continuous improvement approach. Characteristics ☐ If procedures exist, they are in place to meet ☐ Procedures and guidance on responding to □ Procedures, guidance and awareness raising ☐ Procedures, guidance and awareness raising compliance obligations; they provide insufficient breaches - including awareness raising materials inform those involved in responding to materials are updated regularly. This reflects guidance. resources to inform those responding to integrity integrity breaches, and support quality processes results of the quality assurance process. compliance changes, contemporary practice and breaches – are being developed to promote and consistent decision making. ☐ The quality of processes and decisions varies. better quality processes and consistent decision advice from external integrity bodies. ☐ A quality assurance process is in place to check Basic case information (e.g. number of making. ☐ Detailed case information is captured in a central for consistent application of procedures. processes started and completed) is used for □ Central recording of case information is being system with advanced features such as live reporting. ☐ A central register captures detailed case developed to streamline reporting. analytics and dashboards. It provides useful information. It is used to monitor the progress of ☐ The use of data, lessons learnt from past cases intelligence to inform trend analysis and ☐ The use of data, lessons learnt from past cases processes, analyse trends and outcomes, and for and the findings of external bodies are rarely, if prevention strategies. and findings of external bodies are being ever, considered. considered as procedures are being developed. ☐ Individual (e.g. motivations) and organisational ☐ Whether integrity breaches are responded to (e.g. control weaknesses) factors that might have and findings of external bodies are used to inform relies on the knowledge and skills of individual contributed to a breach are analysed to help what a breach looks like and how to respond. process improvements. line managers. prevent future breaches. ☐ Decision makers, line managers and staff ☐ Decision makers, line managers and staff conducting processes have the required conducting processes proactively build their own knowledge and skills. They are confident to capacity where required (e.g. staying up to date respond to, manage and escalate matters as with contemporary practice, industrial decisions). needed. \square Those who provide information as part of a process are followed up regarding their experience of the process and any suggestions for improvement.

Comments

The City undertook its first elected member conduct review in 2023. Whilst the matter highlighted issues that exist within the process, the resolution of the matter by the Council did demonstrate the resilience of City processes in dealing with grievances under the Code. Amendments are planned for later this calendar year. PSC recommendations for a central register for integrity breach matters and the use of live analytics and dashboards to inform trend analysis are not appropriate at the City level because of the low level of potential breaches complained of and investigated by the City.

Element 12: Self analysis and review

Analysis and review activities of actions to support integrity are undertaken as part of continuous improvement.

Maturity levels and their indicators: Each maturity level has 4 indicators that provide an overarching description of what the approach to integrity looks like at each level. Each level of maturity builds on the previous.

Emerging Developing **Embedded Excelling** • Authorities at this maturity level have an Authorities at this maturity level are documenting Authorities at this maturity level have a clear · Authorities at this maturity level have an unclear approach to integrity, meaning it is fully approach to integrity that is fully integrated into all their approach to integrity and it is mostly approach to integrity, meaning it is partially or compliant. documented and compliant. decision making and planning. not documented and not fully compliant. What integrity means is becoming clearer to staff Integrity is modelled and reinforced by leaders Integrity is well communicated by leaders, Integrity is not defined or well understood by as the tone from the top is being communicated. understood by staff and integrated into business and practiced by staff who understand their Integrity actions and initiatives are being planned Integrity actions and initiatives tend to be Integrity actions and initiatives are planned, fit-Integrity actions and initiatives are flexible for and coordinated but not yet integrated. unplanned, inconsistent and reactive. for-purpose, implemented and continuously enough to meet integrity challenges and respond Accounting for integrity is moving beyond Accounting for integrity only relates to meeting compliance obligations and more towards refined. to new and emerging risks. compliance obligations. • Accounting for integrity is based on improvement initiatives. Accounting for integrity is based on improvements being made from periodic improvements being made from ongoing assessments and supported by leadership assessment. Improvements are prioritised and commitment. implementation is monitored as part of a continuous improvement approach. **Characteristics** ☐ Analysis and review activities of actions to ⋈ Analysis and review activities of actions to ☐ Review of the integrity framework is scheduled. ☐ Analysis and review of the integrity framework and support integrity rarely occurs unless it relates to support integrity are sometimes undertaken Analysis and review activities are aligned to or reporting on implementation of improvement compliance. beyond compliance. Available tools are used part of risk analysis and audit processes. Analysis actions align with strategic and operational (e.g. snapshot tool and maturity self assessment is undertaken to recommend improvements to the planning and budget cycles. ☐ Little thought has been given to whether there is framework considering changes in legislative and ☐ Results from self analysis and review, and value in sourcing external help with analysis and operating conditions (e.g. structural and ☐ Further consideration of requirements – including review activities. recommendations from the reviews of external legislative). the value of sourcing external help with analysis integrity bodies (related to the authority or not) ☐ Where analysis and review activities are and review – are being developed as part of the inform improvements to the integrity framework conducted, findings and recommendations are integrity framework. sourced where needed (e.g. where a greater level (and its component parts). Revisions (where not always implemented. of expertise and objectivity is required). relevant) are shared with the workforce. □ Processes for coordinating the implementation of findings from self analysis and reviews, and ☐ A position or team is assigned to coordinate ☐ Benchmarking of the integrity framework and recommendations from the reviews of external implementation of findings and recommendations sharing of ideas occurs (where relevant and integrity bodies are being developed. This from self analysis and reviews, and possible) with similar types of authorities to considers how monitoring and follow up occur. recommendations from the reviews of external identify whether any further improvements can be integrity bodies (related to the authority or not) of made. the integrity framework (and its component parts). Progress is reported to the leadership group.

Comments

In line with best practice the City uses external assistance to undertake a review when needed whether that be an external consultant to guide the internal audit or external legal counsel to undertake an independent code of conduct review. The PSC recommends a position or team be assigned to implementing findings and recommendations as a result of the recommendations of external consultants and organisational reviews. Governance and Business Improvement teams have the capabilities to undertake this implementation with guidance and resourcing from Council and the ELT.

12 Integrity Framework Maturity Self Assessment Tool PSC2070422/01

Element 13: Oversight

Oversight is about providing the authority head assurance that the authority's approach to integrity is working as intended.

Maturity levels and their indicators: Each maturity level has 4 indicators that provide an overarching description of what the approach to integrity looks like at each level. Each level of maturity builds on the previous.

Developing Emerging **Embedded Excelling** · Authorities at this maturity level have an unclear Authorities at this maturity level are documenting Authorities at this maturity level have a clear Authorities at this maturity level have an approach to integrity, meaning it is partially or their approach to integrity and it is mostly approach to integrity, meaning it is fully approach to integrity that is fully integrated into all not documented and not fully compliant. compliant. documented and compliant. decision making and planning. • Integrity is not defined or well understood by What integrity means is becoming clearer to staff Integrity is well communicated by leaders, • Integrity is modelled and reinforced by leaders and practiced by staff who understand their staff. as the tone from the top is being communicated. understood by staff and integrated into business obligations. • Integrity actions and initiatives tend to be Integrity actions and initiatives are being planned Integrity actions and initiatives are flexible unplanned, inconsistent and reactive. for and coordinated but not yet integrated. Integrity actions and initiatives are planned, fitfor-purpose, implemented and continuously enough to meet integrity challenges and respond Accounting for integrity only relates to meeting Accounting for integrity is moving beyond to new and emerging risks. compliance obligations. compliance obligations and more towards improvement initiatives. Accounting for integrity is based on Accounting for integrity is based on improvements being made from periodic improvements being made from ongoing assessments and supported by leadership assessment. Improvements are prioritised and implementation is monitored as part of a commitment. continuous improvement approach. Characteristics ☐ The authority head relies on informal reports ☐ Processes and structures are in place to provide ☐ The authority head can provide assurance to about how integrity is being practiced, managed of processes and structures to obtain the the authority head with information to assist their external integrity bodies and other stakeholders and accounted for (approach to integrity). information needed for oversight of the approach oversight of the approach to integrity (e.g. (e.g. board, council, minister) that the approach to to integrity. This is being documented in an reports). integrity is sound. ☐ Monitoring of the approach to integrity relies on integrity framework. ☐ The leadership group are aware of their \square The leadership group is well versed in assurance members of the leadership group ensuring it is ⊠ The leadership group understands their role to undertaken in their respective areas, rather than assurance and oversight obligations for their and oversight. Members are able to provide any formal process. monitor the approach to integrity in their respective areas and are well prepared to provide information and insights about the authority's respective areas and provide data on request to updates at leadership group meetings. approach to integrity and can discuss how this ☐ As required, the audit committee assures finance support assurance and oversight. compares to other similar authorities (if processes and reports are sent to the authority ☐ A committee has been established (or the role of benchmarking has been conducted). □ As the integrity framework is being developed, an existing committee has been expanded) with the collection and provision of information specific responsibilities to oversight the integrity ☐ A committee provides the authority head with ☐ Any oversight activities are ad hoc and focussed (beyond that required for compliance) to the framework (and its component parts) and report regular and fulsome reports about the integrity internally. authority head for assurance, is being identified to the authority head. framework (and its component parts). and documented. ☐ Oversight activities associated with outsourced ☐ Oversight extends to outsourced programs and programs and services are being identified and services to ensure they are adequately controlled routinely performed and documented. documented. and reported on.

Comments

The City maintains a well-defined oversight structure with the Audit Committee reviewing compliance and risk management matters, informed by the Chief Executive Officer who in turn is informed by the Executive Directors and operations staff. Additionally an internal audit process undertaken by an independent expert firm and compliance with the mandated external audit process also inform the Audit Committee who then deliver findings to the Council for consideration. Further development of oversight capabilities would be through the preparation of an internal audit charter, as previously recommended, which would demonstrate best practice in the oversight of integrity matters. The Regulation 17 Report is a key document for progressing maturity in Integrity Framework and Systems.